Microwave absorption behaviour of MWCNT based nanocomposites in X-band region
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Abstract. Multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) based nanocomposites were prepared by a two-step process. Firstly, titanium dioxide (TiO\textsubscript{2}) coated MWCNT was prepared via sol-gel technique. In the second step, the acid modified MWCNTs were dispersed in the thermoplastic polyurethane matrix by solution blending process. Characterizations of the nanocomposites were done by X-ray diffraction analysis, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy, Transmission Electron Microscopy and Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. Microwave absorption studies of the nanocomposites were carried out in X-band region. The microwave absorption result was discussed with the help of complex permittivity and permeability of the prepared radar absorbing material (RAM). The result showed superior microwave absorption property of the composite containing both TiO\textsubscript{2} coated MWCNT and magnetite (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}). This result is due to the effective absorption of both electrical and magnetic components of the microwave. RAM-MW, RAM-Ti, RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe and showed the maximum reflection loss of −16.03 dB at 10.99 GHz, −8.4 dB at 12.4 GHz, −36.44 dB at 12.05 GHz and −42.53 dB at 10.98 GHz respectively. Incorporation of MWCNT enhanced the thermal stability of the composite which has been confirmed by thermogravimetric analysis.
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1. Introduction

In this modern era, designing and manufacturing of electronic device, which functions in higher frequency range, is a great challenge for the engineers because of the electromagnetic interference (EMI) problems. In defense, use of radar absorbing material (RAM), a material which absorbs microwave radiation in X band region only, is must to stealth the object by reducing the radar cross section. Therefore, the demand for light weight and effective radar absorbing material, in both commercial and military application, is increasing day to day [1–3]. Scientists are interested in X band region particularly, because most of the Radio Detection and Ranging (RADAR) applications are involved in this region. Many composites based on graphene, graphene oxide, carbon nanotube (CNT) have already been reported on this particular topic due to their great thermal stability, reluctance toward chemical agent, high aspect ratio and excellent electrical conductivity [4–7]. Sometimes, graphene [8] and graphene oxide [9] showed their potentiality as a radar absorbing material over CNT, but the problem is that they are very much uneconomical, and their synthetic approach is not very much fruitful. Hence, CNT and its composite materials are very much attractive for the preparation of RAMs. Yang et al. [10] reported a composite material, made of CNT and polystyrene, which showed maximum reflection loss (RL) of −19 dB. Qi et al. [11] reported a CNT-paraffin composite that showed −20 dB RL at 10.5 GHz. The composite prepared with the addition of 20 wt% of the Fe filled CNTs showed reflection loss of −11.2 dB [12]. From past few years, the composite containing
both dielectric and magnetic component is a well-considered topic in the field of EMI shielding and RAMs [13–18]. As the electromagnetic radiation has both dielectric and magnetic component, so it is obvious that both dielectric and magnetic material is effective for the absorption of microwave radiation. X. Liu et al. [19] reported the hybrid microsphere, Fe-phthalocyanine oligomer/Fe3O4 that showed the maximum reflection loss of –31.1 dB at 8.6 GHz. Murugan et al. [20] reported the microwave absorbing property of nanosized CaTiO3/epoxy resin composites where the maximum reflection loss is –30 dB at 8.5 GHz. X. Zhou et al. [21] also reported the maximum reflection loss of –18 dB at 9 GHz for CNT-CoFe2O4 nanocomposite, whereas, the maximum reflection loss for CNT and CoFe2O4 is –6 dB and –8.3 dB respectively. Hence, the composite material, in combination with dielectric or magnetic component or both, is always a better microwave absorber than their individual component. In this paper, we have prepared four different nanocomposites and investigated their microwave absorption property in X band (8.2–12.4 GHz) region. To prepare those nanocomposites, we have used MWCNT, a dielectric material (TiO2) and magnetite (Fe3O4) as a magnetic component. Here TiO2 was taken because of its high thermal stability and moderate dielectric constant of 100 [22]. Fe3O4, a member of spinel family, was taken due to its low toxicity and great stability at high temperature [23–24]. TiO2 coated MWCNT is well known for multipurpose applications [25–29]. But to best of our knowledge it has not been reported yet for microwave application. Here TiO2 coated MWCNT has been prepared by sol-gel process and then both uncoated and TiO2 coated MWCNT was dispersed in a matrix. Thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) was taken as a polymer matrix for all RAMs due to its flexibility [30].

2. Experimental work

2.1. Materials

MWCNTs (Guangzhou Jiechuang Trading Co. Ltd., China) having the outer diameter of 20–30 nm and length of 3–15 μm were used as a component for the preparation of nanocomposites. Titanium (IV) n-butoxide was utilised for coating on MWCNT and iso-propanol (Sigma Aldrich, India) was used as a solvent. Tetrahydrofuran (C4H8O, E. Merck Ltd., India) was used as a solvent for the preparation of RAM. Titanium dioxide (TiO2, Loba Chemie Private Limited, India) and magnetite (Fe3O4, Loba Chemie Private Limited, India) used as a nanofiller. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) has played the role of a surfactant [(C16H33)N(CH3)3Br), Loba Chemie Private Limited, India]. All the chemicals and materials were used as purchased without any purification unless specified. The TPU (Lubrizol Advanced Materials, Thermedics TM Inc. Polymer Products, USA) used for developing RAMs belong with commercial medical grade aliphatic, polyether (TecoflexVR EG 80A injection grade). Tecoflex EG 80A (around 35% of hard segments) has shore hardness of 72A, specific gravity of 1.04, and its constituent formulation contains methylene bis (cyclohexyl) diisocyanate (HMDI) as hard segment, and polytetramethylene oxide (PTMO) as soft segment (molecular weight =1000 g/mol), and chain extender 1, 4-butane di-ol (BD).

2.2. Preparation of TiO2 coated MWCNT

The pristine MWCNT has lower dispersibility in solvent and remained unfunctionalised, which is not suitable for further reaction with chemical substance. Hence, to make effective reactant and highly dispersible in solvent, MWCNT was modified by the mixture of HNO3 and H2SO4 (3:1) according to the procedure described in [31]. Acid modification generates many oxygenated functional group on the surface of MWCNT. This functionalization assists the interaction between MWCNT and TiO2 particle [32]. In situ coating of acid modified MWCNT was done by titanium(IV) n-butoxide via the sol-gel technique. The process of coating followed the procedure reported by Yuen S. M. et al. [33]. At first, acid modified MWCNT was dispersed in iso-propanol through sonication in presence of a cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium bromide. After that the titanium (IV) n-butoxide was added to this solution and sonicated for 20 min. Then distilled H2O was added to it slowly and kept under stirring at room temperature. The stirring was continued for next two days. In presence of H2O, titanium (IV) n-butoxide converted to its hydrolysed product titanium (IV) hydroxide which may add to the MWCNT surface by forming H–bonds [34]. Finally, the solution was dried at 100°C and then it was further annealed at 450°C. One schematic presentation has been shown in Figure 1 to understand the formation of TiO2 coated MWCNT nanocomposite.
2.3. Preparation of nanocomposites

Four different TPU based nanocomposites were prepared with various combinations of fillers. The composition details for all RAMs were given in Table 1. To prepare the nanocomposites, TPU was dissolved in 200 mL THF at 60°C by stirring it for overnight and then required filler was added to it in desired ratios. After the addition of fillers, the stirring was continued by a mechanical stirrer till the filler becomes fully dispersed in the matrix. After that the mixture was dried at 70°C to evaporate the solvent and make the mixture highly concentrated. Then this highly concentrated mixture was poured on a petri dish and heating was continued at 70°C for complete drying. After complete drying, the product was treated by compression molding technique at 5 MPa pressure and 170°C temperature. Four samples were prepared with 30% loading of MWCNT, TiO₂, TiO₂ coated MWCNT and TiO₂ coated MWCNT (15%) + Fe₃O₄ (15%). For the preparation of RAM-Ti@MW/Fe, ball milling technique was used to mix TiO₂ coated MWCNT and Fe₃O₄. Thickness of the RAM was maintained at 2 mm. All the four samples were cut into desired rectangular shape of size 0.4 inch × 0.9 inch to fit into X-band waveguide for microwave measurements.

Table 1. Composition used for RAMs preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAM-MW</td>
<td>MWCNT (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM-Ti</td>
<td>TiO₂ (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM-Ti@MW</td>
<td>TiO₂ coated MWCNT (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM-Ti@MW/Fe</td>
<td>TiO₂ coated MWCNT (15%) + Fe₃O₄ (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Characterization

The samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), which was conducted on a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer, ULTIMA III with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) at a scanning rate of 1°/min. The TiO₂ coated MWCNTs were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with a PHI ESCA spectrometer (Perkin–Elmer 5400), using Mg Kα radiation (1253.6 eV) to reveal the change in surface characteristics of MWCNTs. The surface morphology and filler distribution of nanocomposites was analysed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) which is governed by VEGA LSU, TESCAN instrument. For the SEM analysis to be done, the specimens were coated by a thin layer of gold for electrical conductivity. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis was carried out by a JEOL JEM-2100 microscope, to analyse the dispersion state of the fillers in TPU matrix. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) attached to TEM, while EDX analysis was performed to understand their chemical constituents. Microwave absorption study was done by using a two port vector network analyzer (ENA E5071C). Microwave absorption property of the prepared RAMs was measured by using Transmission Line method where, the material was placed inside an enclosed rectangular waveguide transmission line. Relative complex permittivity (εᵣ) and permeability (μᵣ) was computed from the measurement of the reflected signal (S11) and transmitted signal (S21). Total filler percentage was maintained at 30% and the thicknesses of the RAMs were
2 mm. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed by using Dupont 2100 Thermogravimetric analyzer. The TGA measurements were conducted at a heating rate of 10°C/min under Nitrogen atmosphere from 30 to 800°C.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. XRD analysis

The XRD patterns of MWCNT, TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT and Fe$_3$O$_4$ are shown in Figure 2a and 2b respectively. MWCNT showed its characteristic peak (002) at $2\theta = 25.69$ whereas TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT showed multiple peaks. Comparing with the standards it was found that the TiO$_2$ formed was in anatase form. The most intense peak (101) for TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT appeared at $2\theta = 25.38$. This XRD patterns were confirmed from the previously reported literature [34–35]. Hence, appearance of peaks corresponding to anatase TiO$_2$ confirmed the formation of TiO$_2$ during the sol gel coating process. The XRD pattern of Fe$_3$O$_4$ showed the characteristic peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$ which are perfectly matched with

![Figure 2. XRD analysis of (a) MWCNT and TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT, (b) Fe$_3$O$_4$](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1s</th>
<th>286.23 eV</th>
<th>288.73 eV</th>
<th>284.52 eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

![Figure 3. XPS Spectra of (a) C1s core level of modified MWCNTs, (b) O1s core level of modified MWCNTs and (c) Ti2p core level of modified MWCNTs](image)
JCPDS analysis (No-75-1610). Crystalline facets of Fe₃O₄ are (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (440), (620), (533) and (731) respectively.

4.2. XPS analysis
XPS analysis was carried out to investigate the interaction between MWCNTs and TiO₂. XPS spectrum of C, O and Ti core levels of TiO₂ coated MWCNT are shown in Figure 3a–c. The C1s XPS spectrum of TiO₂ coated MWCNT showed two peaks at 284.52 and 286.23 eV corresponding to –C–C and –C–O bonds respectively. The presence of peak at 284.52 indicated that there may be some uncoated MWCNTs or some part of the long MWCNTs remained uncoated. The presence of uncoated MWCNT in TiO₂ coated MWCNT was also supported by TEM analysis (discussed later). Another peak was found at 288.73 eV which corresponds to the C–O–Ti bond [34, 36]. The peaks at 530.6 and 532.7 eV of standard anatase TiO₂ corresponding to the lattice and surface oxygen, were shifted to 531.16 and 533.23 eV, respectively, in the O1s XPS spectrum of TiO₂ coated MWCNT. This shift may be due to the bonding between oxygen and carbon present in MWCNT. The peaks present in the XPS spectrum of Ti (in TiO₂ coated MWCNT) corresponds to 459.2 and 465.5 eV for Ti₂p₁/₂ and Ti₂p₃/₂ respectively, which were shifted from the standard anatase TiO₂ peaks (458.4 and 464.2 eV). Absence of C–Ti direct bonding in the C1s spectra (281.3 eV) and the shifting in the XPS spectrum of Ti, O and C core levels confirmed the formation of C–O–Ti bond in TiO₂ coated MWCNT.

4.3. Morphological study
SEM images of the nanocomposites are shown in Figure 4. Figure 4a demonstrated the dispersion of MWCNTs in TPU matrix of RAM-MW whereas; Figure 4b shows the dispersion of TiO₂ particles in TPU matrix (RAM-Ti). In both cases the fillers

Figure 4. SEM images of (a) RAM-MW, (b) RAM-Ti, (c) TiO₂ coated MWCNT and (d) RAM-Ti@MW/Fe
were dispersed quite uniformly in the TPU matrix. Figure 4c shows the dispersion of TiO$_2$ coated MWCNTs in TPU matrix and the adhesion between the modified MWCNTs and TPU matrix was

---

**Figure 5.** TEM images of (a) RAM-MW, (b) RAM-Ti, (c) TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT, (d) RAM-Ti@MW and (e) RAM-Ti@MW/Fe
observed to be better than the unmodified MWCNTs. Figure 4d shows the dispersion of TiO$_2$ coated MWCNTs and ferrite particles in TPU matrix. To further confirm the dispersion of nanofillers in TPU matrix, TEM analysis was done and discussed below.

Figure 5a–e, shows the TEM images for all nanocomposites. Figure 5a and 5b shows the dispersion of unmodified MWCNTs and TiO$_2$, respectively, and further confirms the uniform dispersion of nanofillers in TPU matrix. Figure 5c shows the TEM image of TiO$_2$ coated MWCNTs. It was observed that certain section of MWCNTs remain uncoated during the sol-gel coating process. It was also observed that the coated section (~40–45 nm) has larger diameter as compared to uncoated portion (~20–30 nm) which confirmed the coating of MWCNTs. Figure 5d showed the dispersion of TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT in TPU matrix to confirm the formation of RAM-Ti@MW. Figure 5e refers the formation of RAM-Ti@MW/Fe. Development of RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was further confirmed by EDX analysis which proved the presence of different element in the composite and shown in Figure 6. The presence of Ti and Fe peaks in the EDX analysis of RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was due to the presence of TiO$_2$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$, respectively.

4.4. Microwave absorbing properties
The microwave absorbing properties of the prepared RAMs were explained with the help of the characteristic electromagnetic parameters by using the Equation (1) and (2) [37], are related in this manner:

$$Z = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r}{\varepsilon_r}} \tanh \left[ -j \left( \frac{2\pi}{c} \right) (\sqrt{\mu_r\varepsilon_r})d \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\text{Reflection loss (in dB)} = -20\log \left[ \frac{z - 1}{z + 1} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $Z$ is the normalized input impedance with respect to the impedance in free space and reflection loss ($RL$) is in decibels [dB], $\mu_r$ and $\varepsilon_r$ are the relative complex permeability and permittivity of the absorber medium, $f$ and $c$ are the frequency of microwave in free space and the velocity of light, respectively and $d$ is the sample thickness. Figure 7 represents the reflection loss $V_s$ frequency plot for all the four RAMs. The prepared RAMs showed the absorbing properties in a wide frequency range in the X-band region. RAM-MW, RAM-Ti, RAM-
Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe displayed the maximum reflection loss of –16.03 dB at 10.99 GHz, –8.4 dB at 12.4 GHz, –36.44 dB at 12.05 GHz and –42.53 dB at 10.98 GHz respectively. Hence, from Figure 7a, it was observed that the MWCNT based nanocomposite possessed superior microwave absorption property as compared to TiO₂ based nanocomposite. RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe are most promising for RADAR application compared to other two. TiO₂ coated MWCNT composite showed improved microwave absorbing properties than its individual components. Addition of Fe₃O₄ evidently enhanced the microwave absorbing properties of TiO₂ coated MWCNT composite. RAM-Ti@MW showed the reflection loss of –10 dB or more (absorption about 90% and above) by covering a frequency range from 10.01 GHz to 12.4 GHz. RAM-Ti@MW/Fe also covered a long frequency range of 9.15–12.4 GHz in the X-band region, where the reflection loss value is –10 dB or greater. According to the transmission line theory, permittivity, permeability, sample thickness, and electromagnetic wave frequency can influence the reflectivity of electromagnetic wave which transmits through a medium. In this particular case, the frequency of radiation and thickness of the sample remained same for all the RAMs. So, to explain the variation in reflectivity, we studied the permittivity and permeability characteristics of the RAMs. The microwave absorption result of RAM-MW and RAM-Ti can be explained by considering their qualitative nature of conductivity. MWCNT is conducting whereas TiO₂ is insulating. For good microwave absorption optimum conductivity of material is needed. Material with low conductivity may cause partial absorption of the microwave and highly conducting material transmits or reflects the wave without any absorption [39]. Since, the MWCNT has free electrons in its skeleton, so it can absorb energy and helps in attenuating as well [40]. Further the high surface area of MWCNT also may be a reason for its good microwave absorption behaviour. Now, if MWCNT and TiO₂ involved in making a composite then it showed far better result than the individual component. This is may be due to the good combination between a conducting and an insulating material. TiO₂ is a semiconducting material with low band gap energy of 3.23 eV, which also can assist the microwave absorption [41]. The addition of Fe₃O₄ in TiO₂ coated MWCNT further helps in absorption and increases the reflection loss due to the loss initiated by a magnetic component. That is why RAM-Ti@MW/Fe becomes the superior microwave absorbing material among all. RAM-Ti@MW is only responsible for dielectric loss but RAM-Ti@MW/Fe showed its microwave absorption ability due to both dielectric and magnetic loss.

4.5. Relative complex permittivity and permeability

The possible mechanism of microwave absorption was investigated with the help of the real and imaginary part of complex permittivity (ε', ε") and permeability (μ', μ'"), where the real and imaginary part infers to the storage and loss part of the energy of a material respectively. Figure 8a and 8b showed the real and imaginary parts of complex relative permittivity spectra for all four RAMs respectively. Figure 8c showed the real and imaginary parts of complex relative permeability for RAM-Ti@MW/Fe which contain a magnetic component. The values of real part of permittivity (ε') for RAM-MW, RAM-Ti, RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe are 14.95–15.71, 3.68–3.84, 5.11–5.03 and 6.78–6.56 respectively. The values of imaginary part of permittivity (ε") for RAM-MW, RAM-Ti, RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe are 2.86–2.93, 0, 1.02–1.03 and 1.51–1.47 respectively. The lines for both real and imaginary part of permittivity remain almost constant throughout the whole frequency range in X-band region. The extent of polarization happening in the material is related to the real part of permittivity (ε') and the imaginary part (ε") of permittivity is associated with the energy dissipated [42]. The different possible polarization mechanisms, which are helpful to describe the dielectric performance of the material, are ionic, electronic, orientational, and space charge polarization. In the microwave frequency range the active contribution can only be expected from orientational, and space charge polarization. The heterogeneity exists in the material is responsible for space charge polarization and the bound charges (dipoles) present in the material is answerable to the orientational polarization [43]. Hence, only orientational polarization may happen in RAM-Ti whereas, for other RAMs both orientational and space charge polarization can play a major role towards their dielectric performances. The values of real (μ') and imaginary part (μ'" of permeability for RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was determined.
and lie from 1.18 to 1.02 and 0.006 to 0.002 respectively. The real part of permeability decreases with increase in frequency whereas, the imaginary part of permeability (\(\mu''\)) is almost zero and independent on frequency. To understand the particular loss mechanism for each RAM, we have showed the loss tangent vs frequency plot in Figure 8d and 8c. The dielectric and magnetic loss tangents can be

Figure 8. (a) Real (\(\varepsilon'\)) and (b) imaginary (\(\varepsilon''\)) parts of relative complex permittivity of prepared RAMs, (c) real (\(\mu'\)) and imaginary (\(\mu''\)) parts of relative complex permeability of RAM-Ti@MW/Fe, (d) loss tangent of relative complex permittivity (\(\tan\delta_\varepsilon\)) of the prepared RAMs and (e) loss tangent of relative complex permeability (\(\tan\delta_\mu\)) of RAM-Ti@MW/Fe
expressed as $\tan \delta_e = \varepsilon''/\varepsilon'$ and $\tan \delta_m = \mu''/\mu'$, respectively. Figure 8d showed the dielectric loss and Figure 8e showed the magnetic loss as a function of frequency. The plot showed that RAM-Ti@MW/Fe has both dielectric and magnetic loss part but the other RAMs showed mainly dielectric loss. This plots showed some negative values at different portion which might be due to the noise [44]. Addition of Fe$_3$O$_4$ particle may cause the hysteresis loss and eddy current loss which is responsible for magnetic loss [45]. Hence, the permittivity and permeability data supported the microwave absorption results of the prepared RAMs.

### 4.6. Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)

The thermal stability of the RAMs namely RAM-MW, RAM-Ti, RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe were analysed by TGA instrument, in nitrogenous atmosphere and shown in Figure 9. Thermally stable RAMs are required for its application in stealth technology. All the four RAMs showed similar behaviour in their TGA analysis but their thermal stabilities were found to be different. RAM-Ti@MW/Fe is comparatively most thermally stable material among all. The TGA analysis was performed from 30 to 800°C. The thermal degradation was started after 330°C for all four RAMs and before 330°C, the loss is insignificant. Up to 430°C the residual weight loss is only 15%. After 430°C, the loss becomes noticeable for all RAMs and at 500°C the loss reached to the maxima. From 500 to 800°C, all showed a constant line but the extent of loss is different. Maximum weight loss for RAM-MW, RAM-Ti, RAM-Ti@MW and RAM-Ti@MW/Fe is 81, 79, 77 and 74% respectively i.e. a decreasing trend which may be due to the increase in multiple bonding sites provided by different fillers present in the composite. Hence, all RAMs can be used up to 330°C effectively but RAM-Ti@MW/Fe is most thermally stable material. The highest thermal stability for RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was may be due to the better interaction between the components of the composite.

### 5. Conclusions

MWCNT based nanocomposites were prepared and characterized by different techniques to confirm their formation and then microwave absorption behaviour was discussed systematically. The maximum reflection loss achieved by RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was ~42.53 dB which was the result of optimal combination of both dielectric loss and magnetic loss. Dielectric loss mainly comes from dielectric material TiO$_2$ and MWCNT, whereas magnetic loss was mainly due to the Fe$_3$O$_4$. Other RAMs were also attractive but RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was the most promising candidate in 8.2–12.4 GHz region. TGA measurement showed that RAM-Ti@MW/Fe was thermally more stable among all nanocomposites. Hence, TiO$_2$ coated MWCNT and its nanocomposites were encouraging candidate as radar absorbing materials with usability in a wide frequency range maintaining strong absorption.
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