Preparation and thermal properties of mesoporous silica/phenolic resin nanocomposites via \textit{in situ} polymerization
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\textbf{Abstract.} In order to enhance the adhesion between inorganic particles and polymer matrix, in this paper, the mesoporous silica SBA-15 material was synthesized by the sol-gel method. The surface of SBA-15 was modified using $\gamma$-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GOTMS) as a coupling agent, and then mesoporous silica/phenolic resin (SBA-15/PF) nanocomposites were prepared via \textit{in situ} polymerization. The structural parameters and physical properties of SBA-15, SBA-15-GOTMS (SBA-15 surface treated using GOTMS as coupling agents) and E-SBA-15/PF (SBA-15/PF nanocomposites extracted using ethanol as solvent) were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), $N_2$ adsorption-desorption, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The thermal properties of the nanocomposites were studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The results demonstrated that the GOTMS were successfully grafted onto the surface of SBA-15, and chemical bonds between PF and SBA-15-GOTMS were formed after \textit{in situ} polymerization. In addition, it is found that the \textit{in situ} polymerization method has great effects on the textural parameters of SBA-15. The results also showed that the glass transition temperatures and thermal stability of the PF nanocomposites were obviously enhanced as compared with the pure PF at silica contents between 1–3 wt\%, due to the uniform dispersion of the modified SBA-15 in the matrix.
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1. Introduction

Organic-inorganic hybrid materials, especially polymer matrix composites with inorganic nanoscale building blocks, have drawn the widespread attention of researchers owing to the promise of combining the superior mechanical and thermal properties of inorganic phases with the flexibility and processibility of organic polymers \cite{1, 2}. The comprehensive performances of the composites depended on many factors, such as the intrinsic properties of the polymers, the processing technology of the composites, the dispersion of the nanoparticles in the polymer matrix, and the interfacial compatibility between nanoparticles and the polymer matrix \cite{3}. Commonly used inorganic nanoscale additives include carbon nanotubes, nanoparticles, layered silicates and clays. Mesoporous molecular sieve, a new class of nanoscale materials which possess large specific surface areas and tunable pore sizes between 2 and 50 nm, have provided the possibility of incorporating diverse organic guest species into ordered mesoporous structures \cite{4–5}. Although mesoporous molecular sieve have been widely stud-
ied as catalysts, absorbents, chemical sensing, and electronic device materials, using mesoporous molecular sieve as polymer additive attracted less attention [6–8]. Recently, mesoporous silica reinforced polymer composites have attracted considerable interests due to the possibility of improving the mechanical and thermal properties of polymers [9–17], and have even generated certain properties, for example, low dielectric constant [10, 12–14, 16, 17], low thermal expansion property [18–21], excellent friction and wear performance [22], and so on. It is a key factor that the incorporation of guest-monomer or polymer into the channels of mesoporous materials contributed to the dispersion of the additives in polymer matrix and the advancement of the properties of as-prepared composites. Run et al. [3] reported the preparation of MCM-48/PMMA composites by injecting MMA monomers into the mesoporous particles under high temperature and low pressure. They found that the physical properties and thermal stability of MCM-48/PMMA composites were improved significantly with the increase of the content of MCM-48.

Phenolic resins (PF), classified as resol and novolac by synthetic conditions and curing mechanism, are important technical materials and are irreplaceable in many areas, especially in thermal insulation, coating, adhesive, aeronautic utilities, and composite materials, because of their excellent thermal stability, high char yield, and good solvent resistance, etc [23]. The rapid growth of PF applications requires extensive research to improve their comprehensive properties. Moreover, it is demonstrated that many properties of PF can be easily improved by preparing PF composites [24]. Recently, researchers tried to prepare the PF nanocomposites by addition of nanoscale materials, including carbon nanotubes [25, 26], expanded graphite [23] and layered silicates [27, 28]. There is a considerable amount of literature on the preparation and properties of polymer-mesoporous silica nanocomposites by using epoxy [10–13, 18, 22] and polyolefin resins [3, 6, 7] as polymer matrix. However, there are still few reports about the composite materials based on PF and mesoporous silica. In addition, though the researchers have paid much attention to the properties of the nanocomposites, systematic studies of structural variations of mesoporous silica in the process of the nanocomposites preparation have been rarely reported.

In this study, the novel SBA-15/PF composites were prepared via in situ condensation polymerization of phenol and formaldehyde in the presence of SBA-15. The mesoporous silica SBA-15 with large surface area, uniform hexagonal channels, large pore sizes and pore volume was synthesized by sol-gel method. In order to improve the interface compatibility between SBA-15 and PF matrix, surface modification of SBA-15 by coupling agent was conducted. Then, the modified SBA-15 was dispersed in phenol monomer by ultrasonic irradiation before polymerization. The structure of the SBA-15 by surface treatment and in situ polymerization methods and the effects of SBA-15 loading on the thermal properties of SBA-15/PF nanocomposites were investigated in detail.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

EO20PO70EO20 (where EO stands for ethylene oxide, PO for propylene oxide, Pluronic P123) was commercially obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co., Ltd. (USA). Tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) used as silica source and γ-glycidyloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GOTMS) used as coupling agent were purchased from Aladdin Chemistry Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Phenol and formaldehyde monomers were ordered from Xilong Chemical Co. Ltd. (Guangdong, China). Oxalic acid was purchased from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Company (Shanghai, China) and used as catalytic agent. All chemicals were of reagent-grade and used as received without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of mesoporous silica materials

Mesoporous silica materials, SBA-15, was firstly synthesized by templating with the EO20PO70EO20 triblock copolymers via a sol-gel process according to literature [29, 30]. Typically, 2 g of Pluronic P123 and 37 wt% HCl solution (10 mL) were dissolved in deionized water (80 g) with stirring at 35°C for 6 h. Then 4.2 g of TEOS was dropped into the homogeneous clear solution with stirring at 35°C for 24 h. After that, the solution was then removed to an autoclave and hydrothermally treated by putting in a 100°C oven for another 24 h. The obtained par-
ticles were collected by filtration, washed 3 times with deionized water, and dried at 60°C in air. The resulting products were calcined at 550°C for 6 h to remove the template.

2.3. Preparation of organically modified SBA-15 (SBA-15-GOTMS)
The typical procedure for the preparation of organically modified SBA-15 is described as follows: the SBA-15 was dispersed in toluene under ultrasonic irradiation and stirring for 30 min, and then the calculated amount of GOTMS was added to the mixture. The weight ratio between SBA-15 and GOTMS was 5:1. The mixture was refluxed at 120°C for 6 h under vigorous stirring. After the mixture was filtered with Buchner funnel, the product was washed several times using acetone and dried in the vacuum oven at 60°C.

2.4. Preparation of SBA-15/PF nanocomposites
The SBA-15-GOTMS was first dispersed in phenol by ultrasonic irradiation and high temperature and high pressure treatment. In a typical procedure, the calculated amount of SBA-15-GOTMS was dispersed in phenol by ultrasonic irradiation in a beaker at 50°C for 1 h. Subsequently, the mixture was transferred into an autoclave, sealing reactor, then put it into an oven at 200°C for 12 h, following by natural cooling and reserve.

The novolac-type phenolic nanocomposites were synthesized in a 250 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a reflux condenser, stainless-steel stirrer, and thermometer. The reagents, phenol containing SBA-15, formaldehyde (in 37 wt% water solution) (P: F molar ratio = 1.15:1) and oxalic acid (2 wt% of phenol), were fed into a flask reactor. The mixture was first stirred under 85°C for 5 h in water bath, and then keep on reacting in order to remove the water and free phenol at 160–180°C for an additional 1 h under 0.03–0.05 MPa pressure. When Weissenberg effect was observed, SBA-15/PF nanocomposite was prepared [31]. The final products, i.e. SBA-15/PF nanocomposites which included various SBA-15 additives from 0 to 3 wt% were prepared as well.

2.5. Preparation of E-SBA-15/PF hybrid materials
SBA-15/PF nanocomposite containing 3 wt% SBA-15, was dissolved in a large volume of ethanol in a glass flask. The mixture was refluxed at 60°C for 12 h under stirring, and then centrifugal separated and washed for several times to remove most of the polymer from the external surface of the SBA-15 particles, and the residual sample named as E-SBA-15/PF (extracted SBA-15/PF) was dried in vacuum at 80°C for 6 h before analyses [3]. Following the E-SBA-15/PF was calcined at 500°C for 6 h to remove most of the organic compounds, the as-prepared powder materials were named after CE-SBA-15/PF. The experiment details of the process of hybrid materials are shown in Figure 1.

2.6. Characterization
X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was carried out with a PANalytical X’Pert PRO X-ray Diffractometer (Holland). The X-ray beam was nickel-filtered Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm), the diffraction patterns being collected in the 2θ range 0.5–8.0° at a scanning rate of 0.2°/min. N2 adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained at 77 K using a Quantachrome NOVA 1200e gas-adsorption analyzer (USA). Before the adsorption measurements, all samples were outgassed for 12 h at 353 K in the degas port of the adsorption analyzer. The average pore radius and pore volume were determined by applying the BJH (Barrett, Joyner and Halenda) method. The specific surface area was calculated.

Figure 1. Scheme of possible reaction of the surface modification and in-situ polymerization.
using the BET (Brunauer, Emmet and Teller) model. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra were recorded using a Nexus-470 FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet, USA), and the sample powder was pressed into a pellet with KBr. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed with DSC 204 (NETZSC, Germany) between 10 and 100°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a STA 449C analyzer (NETZSC, Germany) at a heating rate of 10°C/min from 50 to 800°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation was conducted with a JSM-6380 LV microscope (Japan) operating at 5 kV. Samples were sputter coated with a thin carbon layer under vacuum situation. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy image of the silicon element mapping was recorded using S-4800 scanning electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was taken on JEM-2100 electron microscope (JEOL, Japan) operating at 120 kV. The sample powders were dispersed in ethanol under sonication, and then an aliquot was put on a copper grid and the solvent was evaporated in an oven.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Characterization of the surface modified SBA-15 and its nanocomposites
In order to improve the interfacial adhesion between the SBA-15 and the PF matrix, the surface modification of SBA-15 was carried out. In this study, the GOTMS was used as coupling agent, which is capable of linking SBA-15 covalently to the PF resin by silylation of the mesoporous silica [12]. Then, SBA-15/PF composites were prepared via in situ polymerization in the presence of SBA-15-GOTMS. The FTIR spectra of GOTMS, SBA-15 and SBA-15-GOTMS are illustrated in Figure 2a. It can be seen that the GOTMS shows two epoxy group characteristic peaks at 915 and 820 cm⁻¹, a weak O–CH₂ and CH₃ bending vibrations at 1465 cm⁻¹ [32] and two peaks at 2960 and 2850 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of C–H in –CH₂ and –CH₃, respectively. For unmodified SBA-15, a wide absorption peak at around 3450 cm⁻¹ and a weak peak at 965 cm⁻¹ may be assigned to Si–OH stretching and bending vibrations, a strong absorption peak at 1082 cm⁻¹ and a weak peak at 798 cm⁻¹ associated with Si–O–Si asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations can be observed, respectively. However, the surface modified mesoporous silica materials (SBA-15-GOTMS) possess many characteristic absorption peaks derived from SBA-15 and GOTMS. It can be clearly seen that both Si–O–Si and Si–OH characteristic absorption peaks are kept, and the epoxy group peak at 915 and 820 cm⁻¹ are overlapped by a series of intensive peaks at 798–1082 cm⁻¹ which belong to Si–O–Si and Si–OH [12]. Additionally, the absorptions peak shifts from 2960 to 2930 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to asymmetric stretching vibrations of C–H, and it implies the –CH₃ is absent in the SBA-15-GOTMS. All these results suggest that the GOTMS has been attached to the surface of SBA-15 through condensation between the silanol groups of hydrolyzed GOTMS and SBA-15. Figure 2b shows the FTIR spectra of PF, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF hybrid materials. The E-SBA-15/PF exhibit many characteristic absorp-

![Figure 2. FTIR spectra of GOTM, SBA-15, and SBA-15-GOTMS (a), PF, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF (b)](image-url)
tion peaks both of PF and SBA-15-GOTMS. Among them, the peaks at 1400–1600 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the phenyl rings, and 3030 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to C–H stretching vibration of phenyl rings, are presented in the E-SBA-15/PF hybrid materials as well as pure PF. A strong absorption peak at 1082 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the Si–O–Si stretch vibration appears in the FTIR spectra of the SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF hybrid materials. But the peak at 1230 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to stretching vibration of phenolic C–O–C [33], is overlapped by an intensive peak at 1000–1300 cm\(^{-1}\) belonging to the Si–O–Si characteristic peak. The results demonstrate that SBA-15-GOTMS has been covalently bonded with PF chain during in situ polymerization. The presence of covalent conjugation could improve the interfacial adhesion between SBA-15-GOTMS and PF.

The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms along with the corresponding pore-size distribution curves of SBA-15, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF are shown in Figure 3. The SBA-15 exhibits a classical Langmuir IV-type isotherm with H\(_1\) type vertical hysteresis loop, and the capillary condensation occurs at a high relative pressure, which is conformable to the literature [29]. In addition, the H\(_1\) type hysteresis loop of the SBA-15 is relatively wide. We think this may be caused by a wide distribution of the pore volume SBA-15. The curves of SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF show rarely adsorption steps and H\(_1\) type hysteresis loop (Figure 3a), and the pore volumes decreased from 1 to 0.71 and 0.04 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\), respectively. The detailed structural parameters of the SBA-15 and its hybrid materials are listed in Table 1. The results indicate that GOTMS has been conjugated to SBA-15 by chemical bond, and the condensation polymerization of PF has been successfully carried out inside the channels of SBA-15, leading to occupation most of channel space of SBA-15. Therefore, the pore volume of E-SBA-15/PF is very small after the polymerization. Moreover, the three samples exhibited great differences of the distribution of pore size. The distribution of pore size of SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF are broader than that of SBA-15 (Figure 3b), which may be attributed to the fact that the mesoporous channels were plugged up in various extents after the coupled treatment and polymerization occurred [4, 34]. On the other hand, this also indicated the successful reaction within the channels of SBA-15.

Figure 4 shows the XRD patterns of mesostructure silica. There are three well-defined peaks at 2\(\theta\) values between 0.5 and 8\(^\circ\) which can be indexed as (100), (110), and (200) Bragg reflections, suggested the typical hexagonal (p6mm) SBA-15 [30]. After treating SBA-15 by GOTMS, the XRD pattern of the resulted SBA-15-GOTMS shows the p6mm structural feature as well, although the diffraction peaks increased slightly in 2\(\theta\) values. The three characteristic XRD peaks of SBA-15-GOTMS can still be observed after treatment by coupling agent, demonstrate that hexagonal SBA-15 was undam-

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Nitrogen sorption isotherms (a) and pore diameter distribution (b) of SBA-15, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>BET Surface area [m(^2)/g]</th>
<th>Total pore volume [cm(^3)/g]</th>
<th>Average pore diameter [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBA-15-GOTMS</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-SBA-15/PF</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Textural parameters of SBA-15, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF
aged. From the XRD pattern of E-SBA-15/PF and CE-SBA-15/PF, we can see that the intensity of (100) diffraction peak was distinctly decreased, meanwhile the peaks at higher angles are not observed, suggesting that the ordered structure of SBA-15 has been little changed in short-range but great changed in long-range. This may be explained by the following reasons: the SBA-15 were uniformly dispersed throughout the polymer matrix during the in situ polymerization process, and meanwhile interpenetrating organic-inorganic network structure may be formed, leading to the decrease of long range regularity of SBA-15.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves of SBA-15, SBA-15-GOTMS, PF and E-SBA-15/PF are shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that only 1.8 wt% weight loss was found for unmodified SBA-15 below 800°C, while 13.48 and 34.02 wt% weight loss were observed between 50–800°C for SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF, respectively. All these results demonstrate that the GOTMS has been successfully grafted onto the surface of the SBA-15 and the chemical reaction has occurred between SBA-15-GOTMS and PF resin via in situ polymerization. From the TGA curves, the reacting masses of GOTMS grafted onto SBA-15 can be approximately calculated, which is 11.68 wt%. Taking into consideration that the carbon residue of PF is up to 36.67 wt% at 800°C, the masses of PF reacted with SBA-15-GOTMS (RMp) during in situ polymerization can be estimated using the Equation (1) [35]:

\[
RM_p = \frac{W_{\text{SBA-15-GOTMS,T}} - W_{\text{E-SBA-15/PF,T}}}{1 - W_{\text{PF,T}}}
\]

where \( RM_p \) is the masses of PF reacted with SBA-15-GOTMS by in situ polymerization; \( W_{\text{PF,T}} \), \( W_{\text{SBA-15-GOTMS,T}} \) and \( W_{\text{E-SBA-15/PF,T}} \) are the residual weight percent of PF, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF, respectively, at temperature \( T \). The value of \( RM_p \) was 32.43 wt% of SBA-15 according to the Equation (1). Meanwhile, it could be seen from the TGA curves of E-SBA-15/PF that a platform arose at 250–350°C as compared with PF, suggested that the thermal stability of E-SBA-15/PF was greatly enhanced, which confirmed that there was a strong interaction between SBA-15-GOTMS and PF resin.

3.2. Morphology

Figure 6 shows the SEM micrographs of the SBA-15, SBA-15-GOTMS and E-SBA-15/PF. It can be clearly observed that the SBA-15 consist of many rope-like shapes with relatively uniform sizes of 1–2 µm, which are aggregated each other and form clusters. The morphology characteristic of SBA-15 is well in accordance with previous literature [29]. After surface modification, SBA-15-GOTMS shows the similar particle morphology as SBA-15, suggested the high stability of ordered structure of SBA-15. Figure 6e shows the SEM image of E-SBA-15/PF (the extracted SBA-15/PF), which reveals that both the surface and interface of SBA-15 attached some PF resins but the SBA-15 could still be distinguished easily. Furthermore, the larger orderly agglomerates of the SBA-15 have been broken, and some holes among SBA-15 appeared resulting from the extracted PF matrix. The lose of orderly structure of the SBA-15 seen from the SEM image and the above XRD analysis may be attributed to
the formation of chemical bonds between SBA-15 and PF during *in situ* polymerization. This effect could contribute to the homogeneous dispersion of mesoporous silica in the PF matrix.

To further observe the variation of morphology of SBA-15 before and after *in situ* polymerization, the TEM images of the SBA-15 and CE-SBA-15/PF are presented as shown in Figure 7. The images of the SBA-15 show large domains of well-ordered structure with 1D channels, which are similar to results reported in literatures [29–30]. It can be seen from Figure 7b that the large domain regularity of CE-SBA-15/PF has decreased, and there are still the 1D channels of mesoporous materials in the relatively small domain, which is consistent with the XRD analysis. This results can further confirm that the effect of the *in situ* polymerization on the ordered structure of SBA-15.

In order to further study the dispersion of SBA-15 in the PF matrix, EDX mapping of SBA-15/PF composite materials were carried out. Figure 8 show Si mapping of SBA-15/PF composite materials with various contents of SBA-15. It can be clearly seen that lots of white spots associated with silicon atoms were uniformly distributed in black background.

**Figure 6.** SEM images of SBA-15 (a), SBA-15-GOTMS (b) and E-SBA-15/PF (c)

**Figure 7.** TEM images of the SBA-15 (a) and CE-SBA-15/PF (b) hybrid material
associated with polymer matrix at \( \times 20\,000 \) magnification (scale bar = 500nm), indicating that the SBA-15 was well dispersed throughout the PF matrix. Moreover, with the increasing of SBA-15 content, the density of silicon atoms was distinctly increased.

3.3. Thermal properties

The thermal properties of polymer nanocomposites have drawn much attention because of the demand of many application fields of the nanocomposites. Furthermore, the improvement of the thermal properties of polymer nanocomposites can also demonstrate the uniform dispersion of fillers and the presence of intensive interactions between fillers and polymers to a certain extent. Figure 9 shows the variation of the glass transition temperature (\( T_g \)) with SBA-15 loading as determined by conventional DSC for the unmodified and modified PF. \( T_g \) of the pure PF is about 61.2°C, whereas all the SBA-15/PF nanocomposite show substantially higher \( T_g \) values than that of pure PF. Moreover, \( T_g \) of nanocomposites is enhanced with the increase of SBA-15 contents. It is worth noting that the \( T_g \) of the nanocomposite containing 3 wt% SBA-15 is increased by 12.9°C in contrast to pure PF. The significant enhancement of \( T_g \) may be attributed to the strong interactions between the polymer chains and SBA-15 which was formed by \textit{in situ} polymerization. More importantly, the \textit{in situ} polymerization of PF monomers within the pore channels of SBA-15, may trap some polymer chains or fractions. These interactions between PF and SBA-15 will impede the movement of polymer chains and result in the increases of \( T_g \) significantly with addition of SBA-15. Figure 10 and Table 2 show the results of the thermal stabilities of the SBA-15, pure PF and its nanocomposites by using TGA in the range of 50–800°C.
Thermal stabilities of the nanocomposites are expressed by their 5 and 10% weight loss decomposition temperatures, $T_{d,5}$ and $T_{d,10}$. As expected, the SBA-15/PF nanocomposites show better thermal stability than the pure PF. Moreover, the $T_{d,5}$ and $T_{d,10}$ of the nanocomposites increase with respect to the amount of SBA-15, for instance, the $T_{d,5}$ and $T_{d,10}$ of SBA-15/PF nanocomposites with 1 and 3 wt% SBA-15 increases from 263 and 312°C (neat PF) to 266 and 317°C (1 wt%) and 364 and 380°C (3 wt%), respectively. Meanwhile, for the TGA curves of SBA-15/PF nanocomposites with 3 wt% SBA-15 loading, a platform appeared in the range of 300–350°C. In addition, the char yield of the nanocomposites increased with the increase of SBA-15 content, and is higher than its SBA-15 content (Table 2), because the introduction of SBA-15 hindered the production of gaseous product and resulted in increase of the char retention. The obvious enhancement of the thermal stability of the nanocomposites can be attributed to the presence of strong interaction between SBA-15 and polymer matrix. The modified SBA-15 nanoparticles have abundant GOTMS on their surface and internal pore channel surface, and thus chemical bonding between the PF chains and SBA-15 can be formed after in situ polymerization. Hence, it’s believed that PF chains not only bonded to the surface of the SBA-15, but also penetrated through the pore channel and were tethered to the channel surface. The favorable interaction leads to homogeneous disperse of SBA-15 in the PF matrix.

### Table 2. Thermal stabilities of SBA-15, PF and SBA-15/PF nanocomposites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$T_{d,5}$ [°C]</th>
<th>$T_{d,10}$ [°C]</th>
<th>Char yield at 800°C [wt%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pure PF</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wt% SBA-15/PF</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wt% SBA-15/PF</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 wt% SBA-15/PF</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

The PF nanocomposites containing SBA-15 type mesoporous silica modified by GOTMS have successfully been prepared via in situ polymerization. From the FTIR and TGA analysis, the GOTMS was chemically grafted onto the surface of SBA-15 and there were chemical reaction between SBA-15-GOTMS and PF chains during in situ polymerization. In addition, the variation of the textural parameters of SBA-15 was studied during the reaction process by XRD, N2 adsorption-desorption, SEM, and TEM. The results show that both surface modification and in situ polymerization treatments have great influence on the textural parameters of SBA-15.

We also investigated the effect of SBA-15 loading on glass transition temperatures and thermal stability of the SBA-15/PF nanocomposites. With the increase of the SBA-15 content, the $T_{d,5}$, $T_{d,10}$ and $T_g$ of PF nanocomposites were gradually improved as compared to that of the neat PF. It is especially exciting that the $T_g$ and $T_{d,10}$ of the PF nanocomposites were increased by 12.9 and 68°C at 3 wt% SBA-15 loading, respectively. From the analysis of EDX mapping of SBA-15/PF composite materials, the uniform dispersion of the SBA-15 in the PF matrix may be the cause for the enhancement of thermal properties. Because of the excellent thermal properties of the new nanocomposites, it has great potential for friction composite materials and electronic packaging materials applications.
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