1. Introduction
Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are promising reinforcing fillers for polymers due to their excellent mechanical, electrical and thermal properties [1]. Recently the coupling of physical and mechanical properties particularly has achieved widespread interest in the area of carbon nanotube composites. Although polymer matrices of composites are generally considered as non-conductive materials because of their extremely low electrical conductivity, conductive polymer composites can be formed by application of conductive fillers, like CNTs [2–6]. Electrical conductivity of the composite is highly dependent on the volume fraction of the conductive phase. There is a lower limit for volume fraction of the filler, called percolation threshold, at which conductivity of the composite increases by many orders of magnitude. Depending on the matrix, the processing technique, and the nanotube type, wide (0.001 to 10 wt%) range of percolation thresholds has been reported in the literature so far [6]. Low values for percolation threshold can be achieved by a well-dispersed structure of CNTs in the polymer matrix. However, commercial application of CNTs by the plastics industry has been limited so far because they are difficult to disperse in polymer matrices. Inhomogeneous CNT dispersion results in the sig-
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significant deterioration of the mechanical properties of the end-product [1], therefore, it is important to reduce the agglomeration and increase the dispersion of carbon nanotubes, thus achieving a homogeneous distribution of the nanotubes in the polymer matrix. The latter is important in order to avoid the deterioration of the mechanical and functional properties of carbon nanotube polymer composites [7, 8].

Carbon nanotubes are non-polar materials containing only a few functional groups that could react with polymers, therefore, different methods have been developed to achieve stronger interaction between carbon nanotubes and polymers. Modification methods of CNTs can be divided into two main categories based on the type of bonding to the nanotube surface. Non-covalent modification means the physical adsorption and/or wrapping of polymers to the surface of CNTs. The graphitic walls of CNTs provide the possibility for π-stacking interactions with conjugated polymers as well as with polymers containing heteroatoms possessing at least one free electron pair. On the other hand, covalent bonding (grafting) of polymer chains to the CNTs corresponds to establishing strong chemical bonds between the nanotubes and the polymer. Grafting is either ‘grafting to’ or ‘grafting from’. In the first case a polymer with reactive end-groups can be bonded to the CNT, whereas in the latter case monomers can be reacted with the surface in the presence of radical initiators followed by the polymerization reaction of the monomers.

The advantage of non-covalent functionalization is that the interaction does not perturb the structure of the carbon nanotubes, because additives only adhere to the surface of the nanotubes, therefore, the advantageous CNT properties originating from the continuous $sp^2$ hybrid structure are retained [9–11]. Additives used for non-covalent functionalization of CNTs are referred to as coupling agents or compatibilizers. Their function is to establish proper interaction between carbon nanotubes and polymer matrix and to prevent the formation of nanotube agglomerates, therefore, they are chosen on the basis of the chemical composition of the reinforcing material and the polymer.

There are several well-known types of compatibilizers, for example maleic-anhydride grafted polyolefins (MA-g-PO) or silane type additives are generally applied to enhance the compatibility of glass or carbon fibers both with thermoplastics and thermosets [12–14]. Maleic-anhydride grafted polymers can be only blended to the polymer/nanotube mixture, therefore only the dispersion of the CNTs can be improved [15, 16] but CNT/polymer interaction cannot be significantly modified. For improving the bonding between them special methods are required that are able to establish strong connection between functional groups.

In this contribution we report on the applicability of a new olefin-maleic-anhydride copolymer and its ester-amide derivative for enhancing the compatibility of multi-walled carbon nanotubes with a polypropylene matrix based on previously successful results in carbon fiber reinforced polyolefin and glass fiber reinforced polyester composites [17–19]. The novel aspect of our work is that the MWCNTs were synthesized by our proprietary heterogeneous catalytic method which has been scaled up successfully at the University of Pannonia, Hungary. This method has been specifically designed to produce large quantities of cheap MWCNTs for polymer filler applications and therefore, the catalyst support talc (approx. 10 wt%) is not removed from the product at all. The electrical and thermal properties of the synthesized composites are compared with reference samples containing carbon black, commercial Nanocyl MWCNTs and carbon-free talc.

We will demonstrate that the behavior of composites containing cheap MWCNT/talc nanotubes compares favourably with that of composites based on more expensive commercial carbon nanotubes.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) were produced at 700°C by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process over Fe–Co bimetallic catalyst at the Institutional Department of Chemical Engineering (Institute of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Pannonia, Veszprém, Hungary) [20]. Their diameter was between 10 and 20 nm and their average length was above 30 µm. The synthesized nanotubes were not separated from the talc support, thus the produced filler material (denoted as UPNT from now on) consisted of 90 wt% MWCNTs and 10 wt% talc. Polypropylene (PP) homopolymer (H116F, TVK Plc, Tiszaujváros, Hungary melt flow...
rate 28.0 g/10 min at 190°C, 2.16 kg) was used as the matrix material. An olefin-maleic-anhydride copolymer (OMA) (Figure 1a) and an olefin-maleic-anhydride-ester-amide copolymer (OMAEA) (Figure 1b) were used as compatibilizers. Both were synthesized at the Institutional Department of MOL Hydrocarbon and Coal Processing (Institute of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Pannonia). Multi-walled carbon nanotubes from Nanocyl S. A. Belgium (NC7000: MWCNT 90 wt% carbon purity for industrial applications, signed by ‘NC’ in the followings), tale (Thomasker Finechemicals Ltd, Hungary) and carbon black (denoted as CB, type K-354, purchased from Carbon Black Ltd, Hungary) were used as reference materials.

2.2. Preparation of composites

The composition of all compatibilizer-free PP composites is summarized in Table 1. In order to compare composites filled with carbon materials in different forms, not only our CNT product (UPNT) was introduced into PP matrix but also carbon black (CB) and commercially available CNT (NC) were applied. As UPNT contained tale as a catalyst carrier in 10 wt%, composites filled with that tale content were also produced. Nanocyl MWCNTs contained 10 wt% undisclosed catalyst support material according to the product datasheet. This was also taken into account in Table 1 in the rows marked with an asterisk (*other).

Coupling agents (OMA and OMAEA) were tested in PP/UPNT composites using two different application methods. In the masterbatch method (MB) a masterbatch was produced from the coupling agent and PP in 1:9 mass ratio then the masterbatch was mixed with the neat PP and UPNT in a twin-screw extruder.

In the impregnation method (IM) the surface of UPNT was covered by the coupling agent from

![Figure 1. Structure of the olefin-maleic-anhydride copolymer (OMA) where R₁: alkyl chain with length of the olefinic monomer; R₂: alkyl chain with R₁–2 carbon number; m, n: 5–9 (a) and structure of the olefin-maleic-anhydride-ester-amide copolymer (OMAEA) where R₁: alkyl chain with length of the olefinic monomer; R₂: alkyl chain with R₁–2 carbon number; a, b: 2–21; k: 0.2–2; l: 1–7; m: 1–7 and n: 0.3–2 (b)]
hydrocarbon solution of the additive with stirring of the mixture for 1 hour at 60°C. The solvent was subsequently evaporated; the treated UPNTs were dried at 110°C for 2 hours in air and were finally introduced into the neat polymer in the twin-screw extruder. Compounds were prepared by extrusion. A twin-screw extruder was applied with different zone temperatures (195, 200, 205, 210°C) for introducing the fillers into the polymer. Dog-bone samples for testing were injection-moulded. Specimens for electrical and thermal measurements were also injection-moulded. Compositions of the PP/UPNT composites containing coupling agents are summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>PP  [wt%]</th>
<th>UPNT [wt%]</th>
<th>OMA [wt%]</th>
<th>OMAEA [wt%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMA/MB/0.5</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/MB/2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/MB/3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/MB/5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/MB/0.5</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/MB/2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/MB/3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/MB/5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/IM/0.5</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/IM/2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/IM/3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA/IM/5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/IM/0.5</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/IM/2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/IM/3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAEA/IM/5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of thin sample disks were determined simultaneously in a purpose-built instrument utilizing the periodic thermal perturbation method. In this technique the sample disk is sandwiched between two copper blocks in vacuum and the temperature of the bottom block is continuously modulated by a sum of several sinusoid thermal waves of different frequencies. As these waves travel across the sample their amplitude is reduced and their phase is shifted as determined by the thermal properties of the studied material. By monitoring the temperature of the upper block as a function of time and Fourier transforming this signal to obtain the propagation properties of the individual thermal waves it is possible to calculate the heat transfer function of the sample, which in turn can be used to calculate the thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity [21].

The specific surface area and pore size distribution in the micropore, mesopore, and macropore diameter ranges were determined by nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms measured with a Micromeritics ASAP 2000-type instrument (Micromeritics, Aachen, Germany). The samples previously gassed out in vacuum at the temperature of 100°C. The surface areas of the samples were determined by the BET method from the corresponding nitrogen adsorption isotherm [22]. The meso and macropore volume values were calculated from the nitrogen desorption isotherms using the BJH (Barret–Joyner–Halenda) theory [23].

Rheological measurements were carried out by a Smart Rheo 2000 (CEAST, Italy) capillary rheometer at 210°C in 2 to 1500 1/s shear rate range. The composite fracture surfaces were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Hitachi S-4700 Type II cold field emission instrument (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Samples for SEM were coated with a thin (<4 nm) gold-palladium layer deposited by argon plasma sputter coating to avoid charging effects.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electrical resistivity of the composites

The electrical resistivity of a MWCNT/polymer composite depends on the size, shape, concentration, distribution and surface treatment of nanotubes [24]. In Figure 2 the effect of all tested fillers on the electrical resistivity of compatibilizer-free
polypropylene is depicted for various filler concentrations (0.5, 2, 3 and 5 wt%).
It is evident from Figure 2 that while neat PP exhibits very high electrical resistivity ($1.43 \times 10^{14} \ \Omega \text{cm}$), this can be decreased significantly by introducing CNTs into the polymer. At low nanotube contents a proper percolating network structure could not be established in the polymer matrix, therefore, the electrical resistivity of those samples remained high. When increasing the filler content above 2 wt% a continuous nanotube network was obtained as indicated by the significant drop in the resistivity. The electrical resistivity of 2 wt% UPNT containing composite was $2.61 \times 10^4 \ \Omega \text{cm}$. It is worth noting that adding more MWCNTs to the composite above the threshold concentration level of approx. 3 wt% cannot improve the conductivity any further. The PP/UPNT and PP/NC composites exhibited resistivities that were several times higher than those measured on the corresponding impregnation method samples or compatibilizer-free PP/CNT composites. On the other hand, the impregnation method offered approx. one order of magnitude improvement in electrical conductivity over the corresponding pristine PP/CNT composites. Summarizing, it appears that simply blending the coupling agent into the polymer matrix hinders the nanotube-to-nanotube electron transfer, whereas immobilizing it on the carbon nanotube surface (impregnation method) actually promotes conduction.

The electrical resistivity of masterbatch samples was practically independent of the chemical structure of the coupling agent. This was not the case for impregnated samples, as the conductivity of OMAEA/IM samples was higher than that of OMA/IM samples. The electrical resistivity of the OMAEA/IM sample was 570 Ω cm, while that of OMA/IM samples was 2250 Ω cm in case of 2 wt% CNT content. Further investigations are necessary to uncover the exact role of the anhydride, half-ester, imide and ester-amide functional groups in the conductivity improvement process.

3.2. Thermal properties of the composites

The thermal conductivity coefficient ($k \ [W/(mK)]$) of polypropylene was found to be independent of the carbon black and talc concentration (Figure 4). On the other hand, adding carbon nanotubes to the polymer improved its thermal conductivity as a linear function of CNT content. UPNT nanotubes performed significantly better than the reference NC nanotubes as indicated by the steeper slope of the
corresponding curve in Figure 4. For example, the thermal conductivity coefficient was 0.332 W/(mK) for NC and 0.374 W/(mK) for UPNT at 5 wt% nanotube concentration, which meant 40 and 57% thermal conductivity increase relative to PP (0.238 W/(mK)). Neither the chemical structure nor the application method of the coupling agent affected the thermal conductivity of the composites. Figure 5 shows that thermal conductivity coefficient values measured for different materials at the same CNT content agreed within ±5%.

3.3. Effects of surface treatment

Effects of treatment on the properties of CNTs were also studied. BET-area and adsorption-desorption isotherms of untreated UPNT and additive treated UPNT (OMAEA/IM) were determined (Figure 6). BET-area of the pristine UPNT was 180.9 m²/g, whereas for surface treated UPNT 91.1 m²/g value was measured. Treatment with the coupling agent had a significant influence on the isotherms of the CNTs unambiguously due to the coupling agent. Capability of CNT for gas adsorption decreased by 40% when the additive was connected to the surface. Difference between the isotherms of pristine CNT and additive treated CNT did not change in the whole investigated pressure range. Additive sizing on the CNT was stable even at 100°C temperature since the coupling agent could not be removed from the surface even in vacuum. Regarding the distribution of diameter of mesopores (Figure 7) the additive seemed to shield the smaller pores with diameter of 2.5–4.0 nm. That could be one reason for the additive treated CNT not to hinder the transfer of the electrons in the composites because the additive could be found on the surface. The connection of the additive to the surface could be one reason for better electron transfer in treated PP/CNT composites.

3.4. Rheological properties of composites

Rheological properties can reflect the structure of nanotube-containing composites and provide information about the interaction between the nanoparticles and the polymer matrix. Therefore evaluation of rheological behaviour of the composites is important in order to understand the effect of nanotubes on the structure [24].
We measured the viscosity of PP composites containing 2 wt% UPNT nanotubes and depicted the results in Figure 8. In agreement with received wisdom, adding nanotubes to PP without a coupling agent increased its viscosity [24–28]. Composites produced with nanotubes and OMAEA compatibilizer (OMAEA/MB) all featured lower viscosities than their compatibilizer-free counterparts (PP/UPNT) because of the plasticizing effect of the additive. At low shear rates the effect was observable for both composite preparation methods. Whereas the masterbatch samples maintained this viscosity decrease in the whole studied shear rate range, composites prepared by OMAEA impregnation exhibited a more complex behaviour. They resembled masterbatch samples at low shear rates and compatibilizer-free PP/UPNT composites at high shear rates.

3.5. Investigation by SEM

Dispersion state of carbon nanotubes in the PP matrix was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM images were taken of the composites filled with 2 wt% carbon nanotubes. Pristine carbon nanotubes (UPNT) seemed to form agglomerates (Figure 9a–9c). Lumps can be seen in certain locations at the surface of the composites. In

![Figure 8. Comparison of rheological properties of composites containing coupling agents](image1)

![Figure 9. SEM image of samples PP/UPNT/2 (a, b, c), OMAEA/MB/2 (d, e, f), OMAEA/IM/2 (g, h, i)](image2)
case of PP/CNT composites produced by master-batch preparation method, tendency of the CNTs to agglomerate seemed to be lower as lumps were smaller, and more homogeneous dispersion of CNTs in the polymer could be achieved (Figure 9d–9f). Slightly better dispersion could be obtained by application of surface-treated CNTs as significantly smaller aggregates could be observed on the surface of the composite (Figure 9g–9i).

4. Conclusions
New types of coupling agents were synthesized and applied for enhancing the interaction between talc supported multi-walled carbon nanotubes and PP. In this first presentation of the research basic electrical, thermal and rheological properties of the PP/CNT composites were investigated. The following conclusions were drawn on the basis of the measured data:

- Electrically, the UPNT (talc supported MWCNT produced at University of Pannonia) and the NC (industrial grade commercial MWCNT produced by Nanocyl) carbon nanotubes exhibited very similar behaviour as polypropylene fillers. Carbon nanotubes can lower the electrical resistivity of polypropylene considerably. The electrical conductivity percolation threshold in the polymer matrix is approx. 2 wt% for both nanotube types.
- Introducing the coupling agent into the composite by the impregnation method is advantageous from the electrical resistivity point of view, but the way of blending the additives with the CNT and the polymer was not a successful method for improving the properties.
- The properties of OMAEA-compatibilized PP/MWCNT composites are either superior (e.g. electrical conductivity) to those prepared by OMA coupling or are at least at the same level (e.g. thermal conductivity increase). Therefore, OMAEA appears to be a better overall coupling agent choice for polypropylene and talc-supported MWCNTs.
- The thermal conductivity of polypropylene can be improved by adding carbon nanotubes into the matrix. UPNT nanotubes outperformed commercial NC nanotubes by 17% in this respect. Unlike in the case of electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity does not saturate at approx. 2 wt% CNT concentration but rather, it keeps increasing as a quasi linear function of the nanotube contents of the sample. Neither the introduction method nor the chemical structure of the compatibilizer affects the thermal conductivity of the PP/coupling agent/MWCNT composites significantly.
- The tendency to agglomeration of CNTs decreased due to surface treatment according to SEM graphs of the composites, which could probably be related to the coupling agent interacting in the smaller diameter of pores on the CNT surface.
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