Influence of the ionic character of a drug on its release rate from hydrogels based on 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate and acrylamide synthesized by photopolymerization
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Abstract. The influence of the ionic character of a specific drug on its release rate from a hydrogel based on 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate (HEMA) and acrylamide (AAm) is analyzed. The hydrogel was synthesized by photopolymerization employing visible light, safranine O (Saf), as sensitizer, and a silsesquioxane functionalized with amine and methacrylate groups (SFMA), as co-initiator and crosslinker. Safranine O (Saf) was employed as a model of a cationic drug and the anionic form of resorufin (Rf) as a model of an anionic drug. Saf exhibited a larger affinity with functional groups of the hydrogel than that of Rf. This produced a lower loading and a faster release rate of Rf with respect to Saf. Besides, the release rate of Rf followed a Fickian behavior, while that of Saf exhibited a non-Fickian behavior. By hydrolyzing the hydrogel at pH = 13, amide groups supplied by AAm were irreversibly converted into carboxylic acid groups. Higher loadings and slower release rates of Saf from the hydrolyzed hydrogels were observed, making them particularly suitable for the slow drug-delivery of cationic drugs.
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1. Introduction
Hydrogels are crosslinked hydrophilic polymers that constitute an important class of materials in biotechnology and medicine because of their excellent biocompatibility [1–2]. Over the past three decades, a variety of hydrogels differing in structure, composition, and properties were developed [3–4]. Hydrogels exhibiting a swelling response to environmental changes such as temperature, pH, electric field, UV or visible light-radiation, solvent composition, salt concentration and type of surfactants are attracting increasing interest in various applications such as drug delivery systems, separation operations in biotechnology, processing of agricultural products, conductive or superabsorbent composites, and sensors and actuators [1, 5–7]. HEMA (2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate) was the first monomer used to synthesize hydrogels for biomedical applications [8]. Its water swelling properties are improved by co-polymerization with more hydrophilic monomers [7, 9–10]. Hydrogels may be synthesized via various polymerization techniques, such as thermal polymerization [11], oxidation-reduction (redox) polymerization [12] and photopolymerization [13–14]. Photopolymerization has several advantages over conventional polymerization techniques. These include spatial and temporal control over polymerization
and fast curing rate at room or physiological temperatures. One major advantage of photopolymerization is that hydrogels can be synthesized in the presence of an active principle, facilitating the incorporation of drugs during the synthesis of the hydrogels. Photopolymerization has been employed to obtain hydrogels for drug delivery applications using a variety of mono functional monomers such as HEMA [7–12, 15], and crosslinkers such as poly(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate [16], and poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate [17]. The co-polymerization of HEMA, acrylamide (AAm) and a suitable crosslinker provides a unique combination of properties of the resulting hydrogels, making them capable of swelling especially at high pH values [18–19].

Water-soluble photoinitiator systems for vinyl polymerizations, above all those active in the visible region of the spectrum, have gained increasing interest in recent years [20]. Among them, the most commonly employed photoinitiators are those generating radicals by a bimolecular process comprising an excited state of a synthetic dye or natural pigment, and a co-initiator that behaves as electron donor. Particularly, safranine O, an azine dye, was extensively studied for its use as sensitizer of photopolymerization in organic and aqueous media employing visible light as energy source and different amines as co-initiators [21–24].

In a previous study we reported the synthesis of a silsesquioxane functionalized with methacrylate and amine groups (SFMA) that was employed as crosslinker/co-initiator to obtain poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogels by visible-light photopolymerization, employing safranine O (Saf) as sensitizer [19]. The swelling behavior of the poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogels at different pHs was also reported [19]. The present study focuses on the way in which the release rate of a specific compound retained inside the poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogel is affected by the ionic character of the active species, using dyes as model drugs. Two dyes were used: safranine-O (Saf) as an example of a cationic active group and resorufin (Rf) as an example of an anionic active group. While Saf is a strong base, resorufin is an acid with a pK_a = 7.9. A significant fraction of Saf persists in its cationic form even at high values of pH. This is not the case for Rf, where the fraction of the conjugated base (anion) is very low at low values of pH. Therefore, experimental results with Rf were obtained at pH = 7.

The influence of pH and temperature on the release rate of dyes and drugs from specific hydrogels (including those based on HEMA) has been reported in a large number of papers [e.g., 7, 15, 25–40]. However, to the best of our knowledge there are no comparative studies of the release rate of salts with large ions and small counterions from a specific hydrogel under defined pH and temperature conditions. This is an important concept because several drugs are used as salts. The following are examples of release rate studies of cationic drugs: pralidoxime chloride [28], salbutamol sulfate [30], gentamicin sulfate [25], and anionic drugs: sodium sulfacetamide [25]. We employed safranine (Saf) as a model of a cationic drug and the anionic form of resorufin (Rf) as a model of an anionic drug.

The election of poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogels for this study was based on two facts: a) this is a classic system for drug delivery studies, b) they can be hydrolyzed at pH = 13 to irreversibly transform their amide functionalities into carboxylate groups [19], a possibility that can be used to compare the behavior of poly(HEMA-co-AAm) and poly(HEMA-co-AA) hydrogels of the same composition.

2. Experimental
2.1. Materials
The silsesquioxane functionalized with methacrylate and amine groups (SFMA) that was synthesized as described in a previous paper [19]. Acrylamide (AAm – Code: A8887 – Assay ≥ 99%) and 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate (HEMA – Code: 128635 – Assay: 97%) were provided by Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany and used as received. Safranine O (Saf – Code: S2255) and the anionic form of resorufin (Rf – Code: R3257) were purchased from Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany and employed without further purification. Water was purified through a Millipore Milli-Q system. Buffer solutions were provided by Laboratorios Oliveri, Buenos Aires, Argentina and used as received. The structures of a representative molecule of SFMA, monomers and dyes are presented in Figure 1.

2.2. Synthesis of hydrogels
Hydrogels were synthesized employing the following proportions of monomers by weight: HEMA
90%, AAm 9% and photoinitiator/crosslinker 1%. The photoinitiator/crosslinker was composed of Saf/SFMA in similar proportions as in our previous study [19]. Typically, 2 mL of a deaerated aqueous solution (50% by volume) of this formulation was irradiated for 2 h in a homemade merry-go-round photochemical reactor supplied with eight green LEDs ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 530$ nm).

2.3. Swelling
Uniform disks, 10.5 mm diameter and 2.5 mm thickness, were cut from the hydrogels and immersed in Milli-Q water to remove unreacted monomers, Saf and SFMA; water was daily replaced for one week. After this period hydrogels were washed with Milli-Q water and dried in a vacuum oven at 37°C for 48 h. Dried disks were weighed ($W_{\text{pol}}$) and immersed in commercial buffer solutions at room temperature. At specified times samples were removed from the solutions, blotted with filter paper to eliminate excess of solution and weighed ($W_t$). Three samples were used per point of the swelling curve. The degree of swelling ($S_w$) was calculated according to Equation (1):

$$S_w = \frac{W_t - W_{\text{pol}}}{W_{\text{pol}}} = \frac{W_{\text{water}}}{W_{\text{pol}}}$$

To visualize the pore structure of the hydrogels in the swollen state, a JEOL JSM-6460 LV SEM, from Jeol Technics Ltd., Tokyo, Japan was employed. Samples were swollen to equilibrium in buffer solutions, blotted with filter paper, frozen at −18°C and freeze-dried for 24 h in a Virtis Benchtop SLC, SP Industries, New York, USA. Freeze-dried samples were loaded on the surface of an aluminum SEM specimen holder and sputter coated with Au-Pd for 35 s before observation. A working distance about 20–25 mm, an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, and a chamber pressure of $10^{-9}$ Torr were found to be suitable for obtaining high-resolution images.
2.4. Loading and release of dyes
Disks of dried hydrogels were immersed for 24 h in aqueous solutions of the dyes with a concentration giving a maximum value of absorbance equal to 1.2, measured with an optical path of 1 cm. Disks were removed from the solution and dried under vacuum at 37°C for 48 h. The amount of dye that was loaded was determined from the residual absorbance of the solution employing a calibration curve following Lambert-Beer’s law. UV-vis spectra were recorded with an Agilent 8453 diode array spectrophotometer, Waldbronn, Germany. Samples were placed in a 1 cm × 1 cm × 3 cm quartz cell and spectra recorded at room temperature.
Release experiments were carried out by transferring the dried dye-loaded disks into 10 mL buffer solutions of different pHs at room temperature. At specified time intervals, 3 mL aliquots were removed from every solution (three aliquots of different solutions for any single point of the release curve), and their absorbance determined by ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy at the maximum absorption wavelength of each dye. After measuring the absorbance, aliquots were returned to the original solutions to keep volume constant. Calibration curves were used to transform absorbance determinations into concentrations.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Swelling behavior of hydrogels
The swelling of the hydrogel in water at different pH values can be related to its behavior as a drug-delivery material. Preliminary swelling results of the synthesized hydrogels were reported in our previous study [19]. A significant increase in the swelling capacity was observed at pH 13, explained by the almost complete conversion of acrylamide into carboxylate groups [19]. The repulsion of the anionic groups fixed in the gel structure and the increase in hydrophilicity produced by the presence of ionic species inside the gel led to an increase in swelling. Morphologies of hydrogels swollen at different pH values are shown in Figure 2 (although SEM is useful to reveal the hydrogel structure, care must be taken to avoid affecting the morphology during sample preparation [41]).

The hydrogel swollen at pH = 2 shows a compact and collapsed structure with few pores of about 10 µm diameter (Figure 2A). The material swollen at pH = 7 (Figure 2B) shows a distribution of pores with an average diameter close to 10 µm. The hydrogel swollen at pH = 13 (Figure 2C) presents larger pores (diameters higher than 20 µm) and thinner walls, in agreement with its high swelling capacity. Based on these results it was considered of interest to compare the behavior of non-hydrolyzed hydrogels.,
poly(HEMA-co-AAm), and hydrolyzed hydrogels, poly(HEMA-co-AA), obtained by immersing the non-hydrolyzed hydrogels in a buffer solution of pH = 13 for 48 h, followed by a water extraction and drying procedure similar to the one used for the non-hydrolyzed hydrogels described in the experimental section.

A comparison of the swelling behavior of hydrolyzed and non-hydrolyzed hydrogels at different pHs is shown in Figure 3. In hydrolyzed hydrogels amide groups were converted to carboxylic acid groups [19, 42–45] whose degree of ionization depends on the pH of the swelling test. The particular curve obtained for the non-hydrolyzed gel at pH = 13 should not be considered for the discussion because partial conversion of amide to carboxylate groups takes place during the swelling test. Hydrolyzed hydrogels exhibited a larger swelling capacity than non-hydrolyzed hydrogels at any pH. This can be ascribed to the higher hydrophilicity of carboxylic acid groups compared to amide groups and their partial ionization when increasing pH.

3.2. Release of dyes from non-hydrolyzed hydrogels

After 24 h immersion in a water solution of a particular dye, the amount that was loaded to the hydrogel (defined as $M_o$ in mass per unit mass of polymer), was $M_o$ (Saf) = 42 mg/g and $M_o$ (Rf) = 5 mg/g. The significant loading observed for Saf evidences the presence of specific electrostatic interactions between the cation and functional groups of the hydrogel. This also causes a significant slower release rate of Saf with respect to Rf as is shown in Figure 4 for pH = 7. The cumulative fractional release of Saf and Rf, $M_t/M_{oc}$, in a buffer medium at pH = 7 are shown in Figure 4. Therefore, the poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogel might be suitable for the loading and slow release of cationic drugs.

An empiric equation developed by Peppas and coworkers for the release rate assumes a time-dependent power law function [46–47] presented in Equation (2):

$$\frac{M_t}{M_{oc}} = k t^n$$

The fitting of experimental results with Equation (2) written in logarithmic form is shown in Figure 5. The resulting parameters are summarized in Table 1. When the value of the exponent is $n = 0.5$, the release rate follows Fick’s law. Within experimental error the release rate of the anionic dye follows a
Fickian behavior while the cationic dye exhibits a non-Fickian mechanism. The departure from Fick’s law can again be explained by the presence of electrostatic interactions between the positive charge of Saf and functional groups of the hydrogel. Similar results were observed for crystal violet when it was employed as model drug in poly(HEMA-co-AA) hydrogels [7]. The non-Fickian mechanism was ascribed to electrostatic interactions between the positive charge of the dye with carboxylic groups of the hydrogel [7].

For systems following a Fickian behavior, diffusion coefficients \( (D) \) may be calculated from the slope of the plot of \( M/t \) vs. \( t^{1/2} \) (the initial slope is equal to \( 4D^{1/2}/(\pi^{1/2}L) \), where \( L \) is the slab thickness [25]). The diffusion coefficient of Rf reported in Table 1 lies in the same range as some of the values reported in the literature [25, 32].

### 3.3. Release of Saf from a hydrolyzed hydrogel

The possibility of varying the specific interactions of Saf by converting amide groups into carboxylic acid groups was investigated. It was found that the value of \( M_e \) increased from 42 mg/g for the non-hydrolyzed hydrogel to 80 mg/g for the hydrolyzed hydrogel, implying that carboxylic acid groups promoted higher specific interactions with Saf than amide groups. This was confirmed by comparing release rates of Saf from hydrolyzed and non-hydrolyzed hydrogels at pH 2, 7 and 13 (Figure 6). Again the partial conversion of amide to carboxylate groups, that takes place during the swelling test, must be considered for the curves at pH = 13.

For both types of hydrogels release rates were higher under acid conditions where the lowest swelling had been observed. This means that release rate depends more on specific interactions of the dye with the functional groups of the gel than on the swelling of the materials. Specific interactions between Saf and carboxylic acid groups should be stronger than the ones of Saf and acrylamide groups as evidenced by the significant decrease of the fractional release rate observed for hydrolyzed hydrogels at all pH values. This agrees with the higher loading capacity for Saf exhibited by hydrolyzed hydrogels. Specific interactions should decrease their strength under acid conditions to explain the highest release rate observed at pH = 2. This means that specific interactions of Saf should decrease in the sequence \( \text{COO}^- > \text{COOH} > \text{CONH}_2 \). Poly(HEMA-co-AA) hydrogels are therefore suitable for the very slow release of a cationic drug, particularly under neutral or alkaline pH values.

### 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we showed that tuning the ionic character of a drug and the conversion of amide groups into carboxylate groups enables to control the loading capacity and the release rate from poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogels used in drug-delivery applications. These hydrogels are more affine with cationic than with anionic drugs. This leads to a higher loading capacity and a slower release rate of cationic dyes with respect to anionic dyes. Conversion of the hydrogel to poly(HEMA-co-AA) by hydrolysis at pH = 13, produced a significant increase in swelling and loading capacity and a decrease of the release rate, particularly under neutral or alkaline conditions. This means that specific interactions of the cationic dye decrease in the sequence \( \text{COO}^- > \text{COOH} > \text{CONH}_2 \).
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Table 1. Release characteristics of model drugs from poly(HEMA-co-AAm) hydrogels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>( k ) [h(^{-1})]</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>( D ) [cm(^2)·s(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rf</td>
<td>1.74·10(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>1.98·10(^{-8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saf</td>
<td>1.63·10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Fractional release of Saf for hydrolyzed and non-hydrolyzed hydrogels at pH = 2, 7 and 13.
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