Poly(L-lactide) nanocomposites containing octaglycidylether polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane: Preparation, structure and properties
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Abstract. Poly(L-lactide)s (PLLA) tethered with octaglycidylether polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (OPOSS) with contents of 0.02–1.00 mol% were successfully prepared by solution ring-opening polymerization of L-lactide in the presence of Sn(Oct)₂ catalyst. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and proton nuclear magnetic resonance ¹H-NMR spectroscopic techniques confirm the formation of secondary hydroxys due to the reaction between PLLA main chains and OPOSS cages. X-ray analyses prove that the presence of OPOSS does not alter the packing structure of PLLA chain in the crystals but enhances the crystallinity of PLLA hybrids. PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites with PLLA-OPOSS contents of 1–30 wt% were prepared by solution-mixing of the neat PLLA polymer with various contents of the PLLA-OPOSS hybrid with 0.50 mol% OPOSS. It is found that the glass transition temperature of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites remains the same as that of pristine PLLA and the thermooxidative stability of the nanocomposites is improved with the PLLA-OPOSS content of 1–20 wt%, as compared to that of the neat PLLA polymer. PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites, except for PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS¹, has higher crystallization rate at 120°C. The nucleation density increases with increase in the content of PLLA-OPOSS.
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1. Introduction

Recently, people have paid much attention to poly-lactide (PLLA) from annually renewable resources due to its good biodegradability, high mechanical strength, excellent shaping and molding properties [1–4]. However, PLLA exhibits some disadvantages, such as low thermooxidative stability and slow crystallization rate, which greatly limit its application. Therefore, several methods, including blending with other polymers, copolymerization with other monomers, have usually been used to control the properties of PLLA [5–8]. The addition of nanoparticles such as nanoclay and carbon nanotubes into PLLA is also an attractive way to improve the performance of PLLA [9–14]. As for nanoparticles, more and more attention has been paid to polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes (POSS) due to their inorganic-organic hybrid nature in the last decade [15–19]. POSS molecules possess a cage-like siloxane structure with a size ranging from 1 to 3 nm and are surrounded by eight R groups (RSiO₁.₅), where R can be various types of organic groups, one or more of which is reactive or polymerizable [20]. POSS molecules can be easily
incorporated into polymer systems through blending, grafting or copolymerization [15, 16]. For example, several polymers, such as HDPE [21], PP [22], epoxy [23–26], PMMA [27–30], PU [31, 32], PET [33–35] and PC [36], have been blended with POSS. It has been reported that PLLA/POSS nanocomposites have enhanced crystallization rate, improved mechanical properties and accelerated hydrolytic degradation as compared with pristine PLLA [19]. PLLA-POSS hybrids were synthesized via the ring-opening polymerization of L-lactide with 3-hydroxypropylheptaisobutyl POSS. Then PLLA/PLLA-POSS nanocomposites were made by blending PLLA with PLLA-POSS hybrids in solution and had exhibited improved thermal and thermooxidative degradation properties, high crystallization rates and crystallinities [37].

In this work, PLLA-OPOSS hybrids are synthesized by solution ring-opening polymerization of L-lactide with octaglycidylether POSS as an initiator in the presence of a stannous (II) octoate [Sn(Oct)2] catalyst. PLLA-OPOSS hybrids are introduced into neat PLLA to prepare PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites. The structure of the synthesized PLLA-OPOSS hybrids are characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), 1H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-NMR), and X-ray diffraction analysis. The thermooxidative stability, isothermal crystallization behavior and the spherulitic morphology of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites are investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), optical polarimetry and polarizing optical microscope, respectively.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

L-lactide (99.8%, prepared by our laboratory) was used as the monomer for solution ring-opening polymerization. Octaglycidylether POSS (Product name: OPOSS) was purchased from Hybrid Plastics Inc, USA. Sn(Oct)2 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was adopted as a catalyst for the solution ring-opening polymerization of L-lactide. PLLA (trade name 4032D, number-average molecular weight (Mn) 3·10^4 g/mol) was purchased from Nature Works Co. Ltd., USA.

2.2. Synthesis of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids

Octaglycidylether POSS (0.02–1.00 mol%) and L-lactide were dissolved into xylene in a flask and heated to 130°C under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen, and then 0.1 wt% Sn(Oct)2 as catalyst was added into the flask. The mixtures were magnetically stirred at 130°C for 8 h, then precipitated into excess methanol, and filtrated. The overall reaction scheme is shown in Figure 1. The final products were purified by dissolving in chloroform and precipitating in methanol. Finally, the products were dried in vacuo at 50°C for 24 h. The products are named PLLA-OPOSSx hybrids, where the subscript denotes the molar percentage of OPOSS to L-lactide.

2.3. Preparation of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites

For the preparation of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites, the mixture (3 g) of PLLA and PLLA-OPOSS0.50 hybrid was dissolved in chloroform with vigorous stirring. The content of 1–30 wt%
PLLA-OPOSS\textsubscript{0.50} hybrids were adopted for the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites. The mixed solutions were poured into excess methanol, and the precipitates were filtered. Then, the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS filtrates were dried \textit{in vacuo} at 50°C for 24 h. The nanocomposites are named PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS\textsubscript{y}, where \( y \) represents the weight percentage of PLLA-OPOSS\textsubscript{0.50}.

### 2.4. Testing and characterization

The \(^1H\)-NMR measurements were carried out on a 400 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker AVANCE II, Switzerland) for characterization of chemical structures and composition of the PLLA-OPOSS hybrids. The samples were dissolved in CDCl\textsubscript{3} and \(^1H\)-NMR spectra were obtained with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal reference.

The FTIR measurements were conducted on a FTS 2000 (DIGILAB, America) at room temperature (25°C). Optical-grade KBr was ground in a mortar with a pestle, and enough solid sample (1 wt\%) was ground into KBr to make KBr pellets. After the pellets were loaded, a minimum of 16 scans was collected for each sample at a resolution of ±4 cm\(^{-1}\). The X-ray diffraction analysis was obtained with XRD-6000 (Shimadzu Co., Japan) (Ni-filtered Cu \(K_α\) radiation, 40 kV and 200 mA) over the 2\(θ\) range of 5–40° at a scanning rate of 4.0\(^{\circ}/\text{min}\).

A thermal gravimetric analyzer (Pyris Diamond Perkin Elmer, America) was used to investigate the thermooxidative stability of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites. The samples were heated from room temperature to 600°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min under air atmosphere.

The thermal properties of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites were characterized by DSC (DSC 204F1, NETZSCH, Germany). The samples were heated to 220°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min (first run) under nitrogen, held for 3 min, cooled to room temperature at 40°C/min, and then heated to 220°C again at 10°C/min (second run). The glass transition temperature, cold crystallization peak temperature, and melting temperature of neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites were obtained from the second heating run.

The testing of crystallization rate was investigated by the optical polarimetry (GLY-III) made by Donghua University at Shanghai in China. The samples were melted to press into films between two glass slides at 200°C, subsequently put into a crystallization room, and then the curves of crystallization rate were recorded when the temperature of the crystallization room was constant. Polarized optical microscopy images of the melt blended samples were obtained by using an XPR-500C (Shanghai Caikang Optical Instrument Co. Ltd., China) polarizing microscope equipped with a video camera and a heat stage. The samples were prepared by melting the blended composites on a hot plate at 200°C for 3 minutes, pressing them between two cover slips, and then rapidly cooling them to 125°C.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Formation of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids

The FTIR spectra of the octaglycidylether POSS, PLLA-OPOSS\textsubscript{0.50} hybrids, and the neat PLLA polymer are shown in Figure 2. In the spectrum of octaglycidylether POSS, the stretching vibration bands at 1088 and 906 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to the Si–O–Si moiety and epoxide groups, respectively. In the spectrum of the neat PLLA, the carbonyl band appears around 1760 cm\(^{-1}\) and the hydroxyl stretching vibration band around 3434 cm\(^{-1}\). Under the same condition, it is seen that the band of epoxide groups at 915 cm\(^{-1}\) nearly disappears in the spectrum of PLLA-OPOSS, indicating that the reaction between PLLA and OPOSS has successfully happened. Meanwhile, it is interesting to find that the stretching vibration band of hydroxyl shifts to the higher frequency (viz. 3512 cm\(^{-1}\)) as compared with that of PLLA (at 3434 cm\(^{-1}\)) with the reaction occurring. The results indicate that the reaction

![Figure 2. FTIR spectrum of (a) PLLA-OPOSS, (b) PLLA and (c) OPOSS](image-url)
occurs between PLLA and OPOSS, which results in the formation of secondary alcohol hydroxyls.

In order to further examine the structure and composition of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (\(^1\text{H-NMR}\)) measurement was performed on OPOSS and PLLA-OPOSS hybrids. The \(^1\text{H-NMR}\) spectra of OPOSS and PLLA-OPOSS hybrids together with the assignment of the spectra are shown in Figure 3. OPOSS (chloroform-d, ppm): 0.64 (2.01H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O), 2.61 and 2.79 (2.03H, OCH\(_2\)CH epoxide), 3.15 (1.00H, OCH\(_2\)CH epoxide), 3.34–3.73 (4.3H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O and SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)OCH\(_2\)). PLLA-OPOSS (chloroform-d, ppm): 0.64 (3.5H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)–O), 1.49 (3.5H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O), 1.50–1.60 (75H, methyl on PLLA), 2.09 (0.75H, OH), 2.61 and 2.80 (2.00H, OCH\(_2\)CH epoxide), 3.15 (1.00H, OCH\(_2\)CH epoxide), 3.36–3.75 (8.5H, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O, SiCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)OCH\(_2\)), 4.34–4.38 (1.00H, OCH\(_2\)CH(CH\(_2\))OH), 5.15–5.20 (25H, methylidyne on PLLA). The resonance signals which appeared in 0.64, 2.61, 2.80 and 3.36–3.75 ppm are assignable to the protons of glycidylether groups of the OPOSS cages; the resonance signals in 1.50–1.60 and 5.15–5.20 ppm are assignable to the protons of methyl and methylidyne in PLLA, respectively. The integration intensity ratio of the peak for methyl to that for methylidyne in PLLA is 3:1, which corresponds with the structure of PLLA. Moreover, we can note the presence of a characteristic signal of a methylidyne proton in 4.34–4.38 ppm (signal g in Figure 3), which could be ascribed to the reaction product of epoxy of OPOSS and carboxyl of PLLA. Therefore, OPOSS is tethered with PLLA successfully. However, there is some residual methylidyne of OPOSS, which appears at 3.15 ppm (signal e in Figure 3b). In addition, the ratio of integration intensity of peak at
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Figure 3. \(^1\text{H-NMR}\) spectra of (a) OPOSS, (b) PLLA-OPOSS\(_{0.50}\) hybrid
0.64 ppm to those of peaks in 4.34–4.38 ppm is 3.5, which is higher than 2. Therefore, not all octaglycidylether groups participate in the reaction with PLLA.

The molecular weight of the PLLA-OPOSS hybrid is determined using $^1$H-NMR and calculated by the Equation (1):

$$M_{\text{PLLA-OPOSS}} = M_{\text{OPOSS}} + 72 \cdot \frac{k}{a} \frac{16}{16}$$

where $k$ and $a$ represent the area of the $^1$H-NMR signal of PLLA methine hydrogen in 5.15–5.20 ppm and that of OPOSS methylene hydrogen at 0.64 ppm, respectively. The value of 72 is the molecular mass of the repeating units of PLLA [38]. The molecular weight of the PLLA-OPOSS$_{0.50}$ calculated by $^1$H-NMR is 9178 g/mol.

Figure 4 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of neat PLLA and PLLA-OPOSS hybrids. All spectra of the PLLA-OPOSS hybrids reveal diffraction peaks at 14.8, 16.8, 19.1, and 22.4°, which are consistent with the peaks of neat PLLA polymer reported by Xu et al. [39]. This observation indicates that there is little change in the crystalline structure for the PLLA-OPOSS. Hence, the presence of OPOSS does not alter the packing structure of PLLA chain in the crystals. Moreover, the intensity of the diffraction peaks of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids becomes stronger than those of neat PLLA, which indicates that the existence of OPOSS may enhance the crystallinity of PLLA. However, the intensity of the diffraction peaks of PLLA decreases with increasing the content of OPOSS, which may be caused by the cage structure of OPOSS which hinders the crystallization.

### 3.2. Thermal properties of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites

A series of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites were prepared by solution blending of neat PLLA polymer with 1–30 wt% PLLA-OPOSS$_{0.50}$ hybrids. The TGA curves of the neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites in air atmosphere are displayed in Figure 5. The thermooxidative decomposition temperatures for 5 and 50% weight loss ($T_{0.05}$ and $T_{0.50}$) are evaluated from the TGA curves, as listed in Table 1. All the samples display similar degradation profiles within the experimental temperature range, indicating that the presence of OPOSS does not significantly alter the degradation mechanism of PLLA matrix. $T_{0.05}$ and $T_{0.50}$ of neat PLLA are about 286 and 346°C, respectively. It is seen that $T_{0.05}$ and $T_{0.50}$ of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites with PLLA-OPOSS content of 1–20 wt% are higher than those of neat PLLA and tend to increase with increasing the content of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids. This improvement in the thermooxidative stability could be ascribed to the well-dispersed POSS cubes in nanocomposites and the

**Table 1. Thermooxidative degradation temperatures of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites under air**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Air condition</th>
<th>$T_{0.05}$ [°C]</th>
<th>$T_{0.50}$ [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat PLLA</td>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS$^1$</td>
<td></td>
<td>291</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>299</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS$^{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>305</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS$^{20}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>306</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS$^{30}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>283</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4](image1.png)

*Figure 4. The X-ray diffraction patterns for: (a) neat PLLA and PLLA-OPOSS hybrids of various concentrations (b) 0.50 mol%, (c) 0.10 mol%, (d) 0.02 mol%*

![Figure 5](image2.png)

*Figure 5. TGA curves of the neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites test under air*
formation barrier of silica layer during the decomposition, which prevents PLLA from further degradation. However, \( T_{0.05} \) and \( T_{0.50} \) of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS\(_{0.5}^{30}\) are slightly lower than those of neat PLLA. It is due to the fact that PLLA-OPOSS with low molecular weight compared to the neat PLLA matrix results in low thermooxidative stability of the nanocomposites. In this situation, the lower molecular weight effect of PLLA-OPOSS became dominant over the reinforcing effect of the OPOSS molecules for the nanocomposites with high PLLA-OPOSS content of 30 wt%.

Figure 6 displays the DSC thermograms of the neat PLLA polymer and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites with various PLLA-OPOSS\(_{0.5}^{50}\) hybrid content of 1–30 wt%. The cold-crystallization temperatures of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites shifts to higher temperatures compared to the neat PLLA. Moreover, the crystallization exothermic peak area becomes higher than that of the neat PLLA. This suggested that the crystallization rates of the nanocomposites is higher than the neat PLLA because of the heterogeneous nucleation effect of the OPOSS molecules dispersed uniformly in the PLLA matrix. On the other side, the glass transition temperature of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites remains consistent with the neat PLLA, regardless of the PLLA-OPOSS content, as summarized in Table 2. In addition, for all of the neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites appear two melting peaks, as shown in Figure 6. The low-temperature melting peak results from melting of defective crystalline grains and the high-temperature melting peak due to melting of crystalline grains with relatively perfect structure. The melting temperature \( (T_m) \) of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites decreases with increasing PLLA-OPOSS hybrid content because of plasticity of the lower molecular weight of the PLLA-OPOSS hybrid in the PLLA matrix. In addition, the melting temperature of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites with PLLA-OPOSS hybrid content of 1–10 wt% is higher than that of the neat PLLA due to the cage structure of OPOSS, whereas the low molecular weight of PLLA-OPOSS effect becomes dominant when PLLA-OPOSS hybrid content arrives at the range of 20–30 wt%.

### 3.3. Dynamic isothermal crystallization

In order to understand the effect of the addition of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids on the crystallization rate of PLLA, isothermal crystallization behavior of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites were investigated by the optical polarimetry (GLY-III) tester. The transmitted light intensity increases with the increasing of crystallinity and finally levels off when crystallization completes. As an index of crystallinity, \( (I_t - I_0)/(I_\infty - I_0) \) is used to define the relative light intensity, where \( I_t \) and \( I_0 \) are the \( I \) value at time \( t_c = t \) and 0, respectively, \( I_\infty \) is the \( I \) value when it levels off. Figure 7 shows the typical \( (I_t - I_0)/(I_\infty - I_0) \) changes with \( t_c \) for PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS\(_{30}\) films, where the starting, half, and ending times for overall PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS\(_{30}\) crystallization \([t_c(S), t_c(1/2), \text{and} t_c(E), \text{respectively}]\) are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>( T_g ) [°C]</th>
<th>( T_c ) [°C]</th>
<th>( \Delta H_c ) [J/g]</th>
<th>( T_m ) [°C]</th>
<th>( \Delta H_m ) [J/g]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat PLLA</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>160.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(_1)</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>161.7</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(_5)</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>161.6</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(_10)</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>113.7</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(_20)</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(_30)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>160.7</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
defined. Figure 8 plotted the evaluated $t_c(S)$, $t_c(1/2)$, and $t_c(E)$ as a function of $T_c$. As shown in Figure 8, the $t_c(S)$, $t_c(1/2)$, and $t_c(E)$ of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites with 1–30 wt% of PLLA-OPOSS were shorter than or close to those of neat PLLA film. The short $t_c(S)$, $t_c(1/2)$, and $t_c(E)$ as observed are caused by PLLA-OPOSS which acts as a heterogeneous nucleation agent for the crystallization of PLLA. Compared with the crystallization temperature at 100, 110, 120, 130°C (Figure 7), the values of $t_c(S)$, $t_c(1/2)$, and $t_c(E)$ for four specimen, had the lowest values at 120°C, except for those for PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS. The results indicate that PLLA-OPOSS hybrids accelerate crystallization of PLLA as a heterogeneous nucleation agent with a lower content of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids. However, PLLA-OPOSS hybrids with a higher content hinder segmental motion to restrain crystallization of PLLA.

Isothermal crystallization kinetics traced by light intensity are analyzed with the Avrami theory [40], which is expressed in the Equation (2):

$$1 - \frac{I_t - I_0}{I_\infty - I_0} = \exp(-kt^n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $(I_t - I_0)/(I_\infty - I_0)$ is the percentage of relative crystallization, $k$ the crystallization rate constant, and $n$ the Avrami exponent constant depending on the nucleation and growth mechanism. Equation (2) can be transformed to Equation (3).

$$\ln\left[1 - \frac{I_t - I_0}{I_\infty - I_0}\right] = \ln k + n\ln t_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The effects of PLLA-OPOSS content on the plot of $\ln\left[1 - (I_t - I_0)/(I_\infty - I_0)\right]$ versus $\ln t_c$ could be seen in Figure 8. The overall crystallization rate may be due to the change either in the crystal growth rate or in the nucleation rate. As shown in Figure 9, the curves have a good linear relationship at the beginning, and then exhibit the nonlinear relationship at the end, which may be ascribed to the sec-

Figure 7. Typical $(I_t - I_0)/(I_\infty - I_0)$ change with for PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS film at 110°C

Figure 8. Starting, half, and ending times for neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites [a) $t_c(S)$, b) $t_c(1/2)$, and c) $t_c(E)$]
ondary crystallization. The basically parallel curves are shown in the process of isothermal crystallization at different temperatures, their crystallographic action is similar.

According to Equation (3), when plotting \( \ln(-\ln[1 - (I_t - I_0)/(I_c - I_0)]) \) against \( \ln t_c \) (Figure 8), the \( n \) and \( k \) values could be directly obtained from the slope and the natural logarithmic value of the intercept, respectively. From Table 3, it is found that with increasing crystallization temperature the exponent \( n \) first increases and then decreases for most of samples. Moreover, it can be observed that the exponent \( n \) is found to range from 3.8 to 6.7, which is similar to those for PLA/nucleating agent systems reported by Liao et al. [41] in most cases. It implies that the crystals in the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites showed heterogeneous nucleation and spherulitic growth [42]. The values of \( n \) reported in literatures are related with many ingredients, such as the secondary crystallization, the density of spherulites, nucleation mode and growth mechanism, etc [43].

Table 3. Values of Avrami parameter at various isothermal crystallization temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>( T_c ) [°C]</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( -\ln k ) [min(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat PLLA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(^1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>6.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(^10)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS(^30)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>10.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. Polarized optical microscopy (POM)

The nucleating effect of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids on the crystallization of PLLA is also confirmed by POM. Figure 10 shows polarized optical microscopy images of the crystallization of neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites. The test samples were prepared by melting the samples on a hot plate at 200°C for 3 minutes, and cooled to 125°C, then maintained for a different crystallization time. The spherulites of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites are smaller in size and larger in numbers than those of the neat PLLA. As an approximate inclination, the nucleation density increases with increasing of the content of PLLA-OPOSS hybrids. It indicates that PLLA-OPOSS hybrids act as an effective nucleating agent to initiate nucleation and

![Figure 10. Polarized optical photomicrographs of neat PLLA and PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites at 125°C. Neat PLLA: a) 40 s, b) 5 min; PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS10: c) 40 s, d) 5 min; PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS30: e) 40 s, f) 5 min](image-url)
increase the nucleation density for PLLA crystallization.

4. Conclusions
In this work, PLLA-OPOSS hybrids were prepared via solution ring-opening polymerization. The formation of secondary hydroxyls due to the reaction between main PLLA chains and OPOSS is evidenced by FTIR and $^1$H-NMR. X-ray analysis indicates that the presence of OPOSS does not alter the packing structure of PLLA chain in the crystals. The PLLA-OPOSS hybrid with 0.50 mol% OPOSS content is solution-mixed with the neat PLLA polymer to obtain PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites with various PLLA-OPOSS content of 1–30 wt%. It was found that the glass transition temperature of the PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites remained consistent with the neat PLLA and the thermooxidative stability of PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites is improved with the PLLA-OPOSS content of 1–20 wt% compared to the pristine PLLA polymer. PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS nanocomposites have the highest crystallization rate at 120°C, except for PLLA/PLLA-OPOSS$^1$. The nucleation density increases with increase in the PLLA-OPOSS content.
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