1. Introduction
Dendrimers are highly branched macromolecules possessing a large surface area to volume ratio with well-defined interior and exterior regions. A large number of terminal groups on the dendrimers, in which each round of synthesis (or ‘generation’) geometrically increases the number of units added, are available for further functionalization. These terminal groups influence the solubility and adhesive properties of dendrimers. These characteristics of dendrimers confer unique chemical and physical properties, which provide the impetus for further studies of this class of macromolecules [1–5].

Currently, most of PAMAM dendrimers surface-modified by cationic [6, 7], anionic [8, 9] and PEG chain [10–12] have been used as drug delivery carrier. Allen and Polverari [13] investigated poly (propylene imine) (PPI) dendrimers in the production of newsprint, mechanical printing grades, and boards. These dendrimers were found to be very effective retention aids for fines, dispersed extractives, and ash in papermaking. They claimed that a retention system of dendrimers could increase not only the retention rate of pulp fines and fillers but also the paper machine dehydration velocity and could effectively remove resin and plastic ropy materials. PAMAM dendrimers can also serve as flocculants for wastewater treatment [14] and silica scale inhibitors that relates to industrial water treatment [15–17]. In general, these modified dendrimers are cationic or nonionic polymers. There has been little work reported on amphoteric dendrimers modification. Our previous study on the surface-modified cationic PAMAM dendrimers indicated that they had excellent performances in flocculating and
wastewater treatment [18]. In this paper a series of new-type amphoteric dendrimers with rigid branched structure were designed. The branching molecules were prepared by attaching dimethylbenzylaminoethyl acrylate chloride, sodium acrylic acid or modified polyoxyethylene units to a third-generation poly(amidoamine) dendrimer core via Michael addition reaction. MPEO was employed as a major component in the modified dendrimers because of not only its long chain which can control dendrimer molecule size with various molecule weights but also the structure which benefits for increasing flocculation bridge. A series of MPEO were prepared by the reaction of various molecule weight PEG with acrylchloride. Intrinsic viscosity, thermal stability and flocculation efficiency of the dendrimers were presented.

2. Experimental
2.1. Materials
Poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM, G3.0) dendrimer was prepared by a divergent synthesis method starting from ethylenediamine by consecutive Michael addition and ester amidation reaction. It was based on an ethylenediamine core, and branched units were composed of both methyl acrylate and ethylendiamine [18]. Modified polyoxyethylenes (MPEO, \( Mr = 454, 654, 1054 \)) were prepared according to the reported procedure [19]. Dimethylbenzylaminoethyl acrylate chloride (Bz80), sodium acrylic acid (SAA) and polyacrylamide (PAM, VN728) were commercial products and used as received. Other organic reagents employed in the investigation were analytical reagents. They were used without further purification. Poly(tetrafluoroethylene) membrane (D34mm, 3500) was obtained from Tianjing Lianxin Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

2.2. Instruments
\(^1\)H NMR and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker DRX-400 NMR spectrometer. FTIR measurements were carried out by a Nicolet MAGNA-IR 760 spectrophotometer via the KBr pellet method. Elemental analysis was run in an Elementer Vario EL instrument. Paper Forming Model 255 instrument (MESSMER INSTRUMENTS), Standards Paper Squeezer PNP Model (MESSMER INSTRUMENTS). TGA data were recorded with a TGA 2050, V5.4A instruments (USA). Absorbance was performed using a 721 spectrophotometer.

![Figure 1. Surface modification of PAMAM G3.0 with Bz80, SAA and MPEO](image-url)
2.3. Synthesis of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers

PAMAM G3.0/Bz80/SAA/MPEO dendrimers were prepared according to Figure 1. Michael addition reaction was carried out in a water bath of 50°C with a 500 ml, three-necked, round-bottom flask fitted with a condenser and a magnetic stirrer under nitrogen. PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer was dissolved in methanol to an appropriate concentration, and then required amounts of Bz80, SAA and MPEO were introduced to the reaction flask. After 4 day reaction insoluble materials was filtered to be removed and the residue soluble in methanol was heated under reflux in 50 ml diethyl ether for 30 min. The residue was filtered through a poly(tetrafluoroethylene) membrane. The product was dried in a vacuum oven at 40°C for 48 h. The purified product was obtained as golden transparent ropy material. Three kinds of amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers (P1, P 2, P 3) were prepared by using three different molecular weight MPEO (Mr = 454, 654, 1054) and the yield was 72.4–78.2%. Elemental analysis was performed for P1, P2 and P3. Found (%) of P1: C 53.20, H 8.06, N 11.16; Calcd (%) according to nominal molecular formula C724H1318O232N132Cl10Na10: C 53.77, H 8.21, N 11.43. Found (%) of P2: C 53.15, H 8.30, N 9.78. Calcd (%) for C820H1510O280N132Cl10Na10: C 53.86, H 8.32, N 10.11; Found (%) of P3: C 53.87, H 8.32, N 7.94. Calcd (%) for C1036H1942O388N132Cl10Na10: C 54.0, H 8.49, N 8.02. Found and calculated results are quite consistent for the three dendrimers.

2.4. Property measurement

Intrinsic viscosity of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers was determined by Ubbelohde viscosity measurement at 25°C in methanol solution. Thermal decomposition properties were conducted with a TGA 2050 in N2 atmosphere. Flocculation efficiency of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers was measured as follows. A 80 ml printing and dyeing wastewater was add in the 250 ml beaker, and then an appropriate concentration of amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers was introduced to the container. After the mixture was magnetic stirrer at room temperature for 10 min, the residue was filtrated. The required amounts of filter liquor (such as 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 ml) was put into 50 ml volumetric flask and diluted to scale with distilled water, then the concentration ratio was 0.02, 0.04, 0.08, 0.12 and 0.16, respectively. Using 721-type spectrophotometer at \( \lambda = 454 \) nm measured absorbance of different concentrations to compare the effect of their flocculation. The retention efficiency of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers was measured as follows. The test was operated according to a manual papermaking process with a 12.2 g broadleaf pulp. After pulp was put into the papermaking machinery, 0.1% retention aid was added to the pulp. Then, a manual papermaking test was carried out. The retention rate \( (r_{pu}) \) was calculated from Equation (1):

\[
r_{pu} = \frac{m_{pu}}{m_{pa}} \times 100\%
\]

where \( m_{pa} \) is the dry mass of the paper and \( m_{pu} \) is the absolute dry mass of the pulp.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers

The obtained amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers P1, P2 and P3 have almost similar characteristic architectures. The results of FTIR, \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{13}\)C NMR of P3 are shown as the follows.

P3(PAMAM G3.0/Bz80/SAA/MPEOMr=1054) FTIR peaks: (KBr) cm\(^{-1}\), 2918(s), 2874(s), 1641(s) (amide I), 1559(s) (amide II), 1457(m),1103, 950(s), 3413(s), 1724(m), 1350, 1241(m), 772, 715(s), 600(m); \(^1\)H(D\(_2\)O, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) (ppm) 7.40, 7.56, 8.15, 4.68, 3.61, 3.27–3.53, 3.20, 2.58, 2.72, 2.32, 2.62–2.66, 2.52; \(^{13}\)C(CDC\(_3\),100 MHz): \( \delta \) (ppm) 174.73, 174.24, 172.85, 164.35, 160.23, 133.28, 132.45, 131.79, 129.56, 68.80, 65.59, 54.02, 55.65, 49.61, 49.77, 47.45, 38.76, 35.54, 32.98.

In FTIR spectrum two peaks, one at 1641 cm\(^{-1}\) (amide I) and the other at 1559 cm\(^{-1}\) (amide II), are assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration (C=O) and the bending vibration (N–H) of HN–C=O (PAMAM dendrimers). The characteristic peaks of ether group (–O–C–O) and the bending vibration (N–H) of HN–C=O (PAMAM dendrimers). The characteristic peaks of ether group (C–O–C) are found at 1103 and 950 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, which come from PEO. The peak at 3413 cm\(^{-1}\) due to –OH stretching vibration is evident. The characteristic peaks of methyl (–CH\(_3\)), phenyl (–C\(_6\)H\(_5\)) and chlorine...
groups (–CH₂Cl–) are found at 1350, 1241, 772, 715 and 600 cm⁻¹. The results indicate that Bz80 and PEO chain are successfully attached to PAMAM dendrimer. In addition, the peak at 1724 cm⁻¹ due to stretching vibration (C=O) of carboxyl groups suggests that a chain of SAA is successfully attached to the terminal groups of PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer.

1H NMR and 13C NMR also provide the same evidence. The single peak at δ 4.68 ppm is associated with ether bond methylene protons (CH₂CH₂–O–) in 1H NMR spectrum. Similarly, a series of strong peaks due to methyl groups protons appear in the region of δ 3.27–3.53 ppm (–CH₃Cl–) and the characteristic peaks of phenyl (–C₆H₅) appear in δ 7.40, 7.56, 8.15 ppm, which come from Bz80 monomer. Furthermore, the results of 13C NMR spectral data are also depicted. The signals at δ 68.80, 65.59 ppm (–CH₂CH₂–O–) evidence the formation of the dendrimers. The characteristic peaks of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimer appear at δ 133.28, 132.45, 131.79, 129.56 ppm and δ 49.61 ppm, which represent the –C₆H₅ and –N–CH₃ groups that come from Bz80 monomer. The peak values at δ 164.35, 160.23 ppm represent –COO– group. The results show the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimer is synthesized.

### 3.2. Intrinsic viscosity of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers

In general, intrinsic viscosity of polymer was used to investigate intermolecular or intramolecular interaction between polymer and solvent. Yamakawa [20] and Long et al. [21] investigated the hydrodynamic radius (Rη) of dendrimers according to an intrinsic viscosity measurement. The molecular volume of dendrimers can be calculated from Equation (2):

\[ [\eta] = 10\pi R^3 \eta N_A \]

Then the hydrodynamic radius (Rη) would be (Equation (3)):

\[ R_\eta = \frac{3M[\eta]}{10\pi N_A} \]

where M is the molecular weight and N_A is the Avgadro constant. Therefore, for each amphoteric PAMAM dendrimer, hydrodynamic radius can be calculated using measured intrinsic viscosity. The date presented in Table 1 illustrates the intrinsic viscosity [η] and hydrodynamic radius Rη of amphoteric PAMAM dendrimer.

It is clear from Table 1 that P2 has a maximum intrinsic viscosity value (5.8·10⁻² d₁·g⁻¹), and the hydrodynamic radius Rη was 2.57 nm. P3, however, has smaller intrinsic viscosity value (4.1·10⁻² d₁·g⁻¹) than PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer, but has larger hydrodynamic radius Rη. On the one hand, this may be due to the effect of some charge repulsive force interaction of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers. On the other hand, the length of PEO chain results in an increased twist onto the dendrimer surface. Therefore, the exterior terminal groups are main cause to affect intrinsic viscosity of dendrimers. The amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers are watersoluble dendrimers. They are insoluble in diethyl ether, petroleum ether, but are highly soluble in carbon tetrachloride, trichloromethane.

### 3.3. Thermal decomposition of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers

Figure 2 shows the TGA and DTG traces of PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer, P1, P2 and P3 in N₂. It is apparent that the thermal stepwise decomposition of the dendrimers contains signals originating from both PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer and the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers. There is 26.7% mass loss from room temperature to 160°C in the TGA curve of PAMAM G3.0, mainly attributed to the decomposition of the amine-terminal groups of the peripheral dendrimer. A mass loss of 55.7% occurs in the range of 220–350°C and the maximal peak rate temperature (T_max) appears at 289°C, assigned to decomposition of the PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer inner layer. Compared to the two-step mass loss of PAMAM G3.0, three-step mass loss trend of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers become more and
more evident with increasing molecular weight of used PEO. Three-step decomposition of P3 is apparently observed in DTG curve. The initial mass loss from room temperature to 120°C is 11.3%, mainly attributed to the decomposition of the Bz80 and SAA chains bond of the peripheral dendrimer. The second stage from 175 to 275°C results in a mass loss of 28.5% due to the PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer thermal decomposition and PEO chain breakage, and the maximal mass loss temperature at 200°C. In the third stage of mass loss, P3 shows a rapid weight loss of 40.5% from 300 to 410°C, corresponding to a spot of rudimental nucleus of the PAMAM dendrimer and PEO and the peak temperature at 392°C. The results of P1 and P2 are almost the same as P3. The data are presented in Table 2. The thermal stability of P1 is more excellent than that of P1 and P2. It can be concluded from the experimental results that the chain length of PEO affects the thermal decomposition behavior of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers, and the longer chain length of PEO has a better thermal stability in nitrogen. This may be because the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers peripheral terminated groups and linear PEO chains could form the dendritic sphericity architecture. On the one hand, efficiency of the charge adsorption produces aggregation intermolecular; on the other hand, the longer chain length of PEO is the easier twist among the peripheral dendrimers. Therefore the thermal stability is enhanced.

3.4. Flocculation efficiency of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers

Decolorizing rate (\(d_r\)) of printing and dyeing wastewater disposed by the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers are used to estimate their flocculating performances. The results for P1, P2 and P3 (\(\lambda = 454 \, \text{nm}\)) are shown in Figure 3.

It can be seen from Figure 3a that an effective flocculation occurred when the flocculation system of amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers was used. The flocculation efficiency of P3 was superior to P1 and P2. One possible reason is that its molecule architecture is beneficial to bridge and charge neutralization coagulation. It has already been found that the combined use of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers and PAM can significantly enhance the flocculation efficiency. The flocculation results

![Figure 2. TGA (a) and DTG (b) curves of PAMAM G3.0, P1, P2 and P3](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Temperature range [°C]</th>
<th>Mass loss [%]</th>
<th>(T_{\text{max}}) [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAMAM G3.0</td>
<td>25–160</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>220–350</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>25–120</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175–275</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300–410</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>25–120</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175–275</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300–410</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>175–275</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300–410</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtained by the combination of P3 and PAM are shown in Figure 3b. It can be seen that higher flocculation efficiency is produced when the mass ratio of P3 and PAM is 2:3. The suggested flocculation mechanism may be that the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers first create effective patches on the solid suspension of wastewater surface, and then PAM with high affinity to the particle surface makes bridges through those charged patches. The other investigation is to determine the retention efficiency of the dendrimers as a retention aid in manual papermaking. The experiments were performed in a conventional manual papermaking procedure. The effects of retention rate for various mass fraction of retention aid are given in Figure 4. As can be seen in Figure 4a and 4b an evident initial increase in retention rate ($r_{pul}$) happens with increasing mass fraction of retention aid until the maximum value. Thereafter a decrease in retention rate occurs with increasing retention aid. A suitable mass fraction of retention aid is about 0.2%. P3 shows the highest retention performance whose retention rate achieves 89.4%. P2 and P1 are about 88.2 and 87.5%, respectively. The results clearly indicate that the longer chain length of PEO can induce the better retention efficiency. The retention rate can be significantly improved if the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers are used in combination with PAM. The suitable mass ratio of P3 and PAM is 2:3, and the maximum value of retention rate reaches 90.9%. All these effects can be explained by assuming that the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers have particular molecule architecture, which has not only highly branched core-shell structure of dendrimers but also many long linear arms. The possible mechanism for this flocculation system is mainly through microparticle bridging in which fines or fillers can be captured and is subsequently swept up by the fibers. As a result, both the linear PAM and P3 which has a long chain of PEO into liquid to form more effective bridge, and thus give better retention efficiency.
4. Conclusions

The amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers were synthesized based on Michael addition reaction of PAMAM G3.0 dendrimer with dimethylbenzylaminoethyl acrylate chloride (Bz80), sodium acrylic acid (SAA) and modified polyoxyethylene (MPEO) groups. FTIR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and elemental analysis characterized the new dendrimers. These results demonstrated that the prepared dendrimers were composed of chain units of PAMAM G3.0, Bz80, SAA and MPEO. The exterior terminal groups of amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers were main cause to affect intrinsic viscosity, thermal stability and flocculation efficiency of the dendrimers. The flocculation efficiency of the amphoteric PAMAM dendrimers can be significantly improved by the combination of PAM. The dendrimers will have a potential application as a flocculant in wastewater treatment and as a new paper retention aid in papermaking.
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